

## Compliance Data Reporting Rule Survey Results 1/24/12

### Number and Profile of Respondents

Total # of respondents: 42. We received responses from 14 state agencies and 20 local agencies. 3 did not provide contact information. Some state and local agencies submitted more than one response (i.e., different people in the same agency submitted separate responses).

### Responses to Questions

1. *Would you like to receive some notification from EPA that a company in your jurisdiction filed a report in CDX? (Y or N)*

**Response:** 100% of those who answered the question said yes. (39)

2. *If yes, would you like EPA's system to (rate each in terms of preference, with 1 being your top choice and 3 your bottom choice)*
  - a. *automatically notify by e-mail your agency each time that a company in your jurisdiction filed a report; or*
  - b. *e-mail a summary to your agency of companies in your jurisdiction that have filed a report; or*
  - c. *post on the web (in a site accessible only to state and local air agencies) a list of reports received, organized by state.*

**Response:** Top choice was 2.b (e-mail a summary) with 19 picking as their top choice and 21 as second choice.

3. *If your preference is to receive a summary of filings either by e-mail or by posting on the web, how frequent would you like these to be e-mailed/posted (with 1 being your top choice and 6 being your bottom choice)?*
  - a. *Daily*
  - b. *Weekly*
  - c. *Monthly*
  - d. *Quarterly*
  - e. *Semiannually*
  - f. *Yearly*

**Response** Top choice was 3.c (monthly) with 15 selecting as their top choice and 13 as their second choice. Next was 3.b (weekly), with 10 selecting this as their top choice and 11 selecting it as their second choice.

4. *If you would prefer e-mail notifications, would you be willing to set up an e-mail address dedicated to receiving these reports (so that the e-mail address does not change with changes in personnel or staffing responsibilities)? (Y or N)*

**Response:** 27 said yes, 10 said no, of the 37 who answered this question.

## Additional Comments

In addition to the comments we submitted comments for the 1/16/11 call, Indiana continues to be concerned with quality assurance of stack test reports and other data before it is made available to the public by EPA. Some other concerns include:

- If data is required to be submitted to EPA, EPA OECA should eliminate the need for states to also supply stack test information (other than the state review) of the data in AFS.
- EPA OECA needs to work closely with OECA HQ and OECA in the Regions to work through this rule before it is proposed. Region 5 OECA staff were unaware that that OAQPS we even working on the rule. The data has more ramifications than emission factors and emission inventory than OAQPS initially proposed.
- Indiana continues to be concerned about unreviewed or data that hasn't been quality assured or validated becoming public in a database similar to issues we have had with ECHO, noncompliance flags in AFS, OTIS, and ECHO, and the Watch List.
- We also have some concerns about how EPA will "manage" the data under a new reporting rule similar to issues we have in how EPA "manage" AFS data. AFS is EPA's data that Indiana provide input, but we generally do not use the data. We have our own data systems to manage our compliance and enforcement programs at the state.

Phil Perry  
Compliance and Enforcement Branch  
Office of Air Quality  
Indiana Department of Environmental Management  
317-232-8457  
[pperry@idem.in.gov](mailto:pperry@idem.in.gov)