	Case 3:15-cv-04328-JD Documen	t 34	Filed 06/21/16	Page 1 of 2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	JOHN C. CRUDEN Assistant Attorney General Environment & Natural Resources Division United States Department of Justice LESLIE M. HILL (D.C. Bar No. 476008) Leslie.Hill@usdoj.gov Environmental Defense Section 601 D Street N.W., Suite 8000 Washington D.C. 20004 Tel. (202) 514-0375 Fax (202) 514-8865 Attorneys for Defendant UNITED STATES D NORTHERN DISTRIC	TO	F CALIFORNIA	
<ol> <li>12</li> <li>13</li> <li>14</li> <li>15</li> </ol>	SIERRA CLUB, Plaintiff, v.	NO	e No. 3:15-cv-04 TICE OF LODO OPOSED CONS	GING OF
13 16 17 18	GINA McCARTHY, in her official capacity as the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency,			
19 20 21	Defendant. Defendant Gina McCarthy, in her official capacity as the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"), hereby lodges with the Court a			
21 22 23	proposed Consent Decree that contains the terms of a proposed settlement of this action. See Exhibit A, Proposed Consent Decree.			
23 24	The proposed consent decree should not be signed or entered by the Court at			
25	this time. Pursuant to section 113(g) of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7413(g), the			. § 7413(g), the
26	Consent Decree is not final and cannot be enter	ered b	by the Court until	the EPA
27	Administrator provides "a reasonable opportu	nity t	by notice in the Fe	deral Register to
28	persons who are not named as parties or interv	enor	s to the action" to	comment in writing
			Co	sa No. 3:15 ay 0/228 ID

Case No. 3:15-cv-04328-JD NOTICE OF LODGING OF PROPOSED CONSENT DECREE

## Case 3:15-cv-04328-JD Document 34 Filed 06/21/16 Page 2 of 2

1 upon the proposed Decree. Accordingly, EPA will publish in the Federal Register a 2 notice of the proposed Consent Decree and request comments. After the public comment period ends, the EPA Administrator and the Attorney General, as appropriate, will 3 4 promptly consider any written comments received and, if none of the comments disclose 5 facts or considerations which indicate that the proposed Consent Decree is inappropriate, 6 improper, inadequate, or inconsistent with the requirements of the Clean Air Act, EPA 7 will move for entry of the Decree. 8 9

Respectfully submitted,

10	Date: June 20, 2016	JOHN C. CRUDEN
11		Assistant Attorney General
		Environment & Natural Resources Division
12		
13		/s/ Leslie M. Hill
14		LESLIE M. HILL (D.C. Bar No. 476008) Environmental Defense Section
15		601 D Street N.W., Suite 8000
16		Washington D.C. 20004
16		Tel. (202) 514-0375
17		Email: Leslie.Hill@usdoj.gov
18		Attorneys for Defendant
19	Of counsel:	
20	Zach Pilchen	
21	Office of General Counsel	
22	2 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency	Agency
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		Case No. 3:15-cv-04328-JD Notice of Lodging of Proposed Consent Decree

	Case 3:15-cv-04328-JD Document	34-1 Filed 06/21/16 Page 1 of 13		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JOHN C. CRUDEN Assistant Attorney General Environment & Natural Resources Division United States Department of Justice LESLIE M. HILL (D.C. Bar No. 476008) Leslie.Hill@usdoj.gov Environmental Defense Section 601 D Street N.W., Suite 8000 Washington D.C. 20004 Telephone (202) 514-0375 Facsimile (202) 514-0375 Facsimile (202) 514-8865 Attorneys for Defendant ROBERT UKEILEY, Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> rukeiley@igc.org Law Office of Robert Ukeiley 255 Mountain Meadows Road Boulder, CO 80302 Telephone (303) 442-4033 [additional attorneys for Plaintiff included in s			
14		signature block]		
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
16 17	IN THE UNITED STAT			
18	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
19	SANTRANCIS			
20	SIERRA CLUB,			
21		Case No. 3:15-cv-04328-JD		
22	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] PARTIAL CONSENT DECREE		
23	v.			
24	GINA McCARTHY, in her official capacity as the Administrator of the United States			
25	Environmental Protection Agency,			
26	Defendant.			
27				
28				
		[PROPOSED] PARTIAL CONSENT DECREE CASE NO. 3:15-cv-04328-JD		

#### Case 3:15-cv-04328-JD Document 34-1 Filed 06/21/16 Page 2 of 13

WHEREAS, on September 22, 2015, Plaintiff Sierra Club ("Plaintiff") filed the above-captioned matter against Gina McCarthy, in her official capacity as Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (hereinafter "EPA" or "Defendant") (Dkt. No. 1);

WHEREAS, on February 9, 2016, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2), Plaintiff filed a first amended complaint (Dkt. No. 29) (the "Complaint");

WHEREAS, Plaintiff alleges that EPA has failed to undertake certain nondiscretionary duties under the Clean Air Act ("CAA"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 7401-7671q, and that such alleged failure is actionable under section 304(a)(2) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7604(a)(2);

WHEREAS, on March 12, 2008, pursuant to CAA section 109(a)(1), 42 U.S.C. § 7409(d)(1), EPA promulgated a final rule revising the ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard ("NAAQS"), *Final Rule*, 73 Fed. Reg. 16,436 (Mar. 27, 2008) (the "2008 ozone NAAQS");

WHEREAS, pursuant to CAA section 110(d)(1), 42 U.S.C. § 7410(d)(1), "[e]ach
State shall, . . . submit to the Administrator, within 3 years . . . after the promulgation of a
[NAAQS] (or revision thereof) under section 7409 of this title for any air pollutant, a plan
which provides for implementation, maintenance, and enforcement of such primary
standard in each air quality control region (or portion thereof) with each State," a state
implementation plan ("SIP"), often referred to as an infrastructure SIP;

WHEREAS, such SIP submittals must meet the requirements set forth in CAA section 110(a)(2)(A)-(M), 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(A)-(M);

WHEREAS, EPA must then determine whether a State's submittal is complete within six months after EPA receives the submission. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(1)(B). If EPA does not determine completeness of the plan or revision within six months, then the submittal is deemed complete by operation of law after six months. *Id*.;

WHEREAS, pursuant to CAA section 110(k)(2)-(4), 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2)-(4),
EPA is required to approve in whole or in part, disapprove, or conditionally approve in

## Case 3:15-cv-04328-JD Document 34-1 Filed 06/21/16 Page 3 of 13

whole or in part, each plan or revision, within 12 months of a determination of completeness by EPA or a determination deemed by operation of law to be complete;

WHEREAS, pursuant to CAA section 110(d)(1), 42 U.S.C. § 7410(d)(1), states were required to submit infrastructure SIPs within 3 years of promulgation of the 2008 ozone NAAQS, i.e., by March 12, 2011;

WHEREAS, in Claim 1, Plaintiff alleges that EPA has failed to perform a duty mandated by CAA sections 110(k)(2)-(4), 42 U.S.C. §§ 7410(k)(2)-(4), to take final action to approve or disapprove, in whole or in part, certain 2008 ozone NAAQS infrastructure SIP submissions addressing the following element or elements under 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2) from the states listed below, *see* Compl. ¶¶ 19-43 (Dkt. No. 29):

AREA/STATE	ELEMENT(S) (under CAA section 110)
Louisiana	42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(D)(i) (prongs 1–4)
Montana	42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) (prongs 1 and 2)
New York	42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(D)(i) (prongs 1–4)
South Dakota	42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) (prongs 1 and 2)
Wisconsin	42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(D)(i) (prongs 1–3)
Wyoming	42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(D)(i) (prongs 1–4)
New Jersey	42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(D)(i) (prongs 1–4)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

WHEREAS, on January 25, 2016, EPA took final action approving Montana's and South Dakota's SIPs addressing the requirements of 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) (prongs 1 and 2), *Final Rule*, 81 Fed. Reg. 7706 (Feb. 16, 2016), for the 2008 ozone NAAQS and therefore, Claim 1 is moot as to Montana and South Dakota;

WHEREAS, on March 30, 2016, the State of New Jersey stated that is was withdrawing its SIP submission addressing the requirements of 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) (prongs 1-2) for the 2008 ozone NAAQS;

[PROPOSED] PARTIAL CONSENT DECREE CASE NO. 3:15-cv-04328-JD

### Case 3:15-cv-04328-JD Document 34-1 Filed 06/21/16 Page 4 of 13

WHEREAS, on June 6, 2016, EPA took final action finding that New Jersey failed to submit a SIP addressing the requirements of 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) (prongs 1-2) for the 2008 ozone NAAQS, *Final Rule*, 81 Fed. Reg. 38,963 (Jun. 15, 2016);

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

28

WHEREAS, Claim 1 is therefore moot as to New Jersey as to the requirements of 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) (prongs 1-2);

WHEREAS, pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 7410(c)(1), EPA must "promulgate a Federal implementation plan [("FIP")] at any time within 2 years after the Administrator-

(A) finds that a State has failed to make a required submission or finds that the
plan or plan revision submitted by the State does not satisfy the minimum criteria
established under subsection (k)(1)(A) of this section, or

(B) disapproves a [SIP] submission in whole or in part, unless the State corrects the deficiency, and the Administrator approves the plan or plan revision, before the Administrator promulgates such [FIP];"

WHEREAS, on January 4, 2013, EPA found that California failed to submit a SIP
addressing the requirements of 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(A)-(C), (D)(i)(II)-(H), & (J)-(M)
for the 2008 ozone NAAQS, *Notice*, 78 Fed. Reg. 2882 (Jan. 15, 2013) (effective
February 14, 2015);

WHEREAS, in Claim 2, Plaintiff alleges that EPA has failed to perform a duty
mandated by CAA section 110(c)(1)(B), 42 U.S.C. § 7410(c)(1)(B), to promulgate a FIP
within 2 years after finding that California failed to make the required submission,
Compl. ¶ 41;

WHEREAS, on November 24, 2015, EPA approved in part and disapproved in
part California's SIP submission addressing certain requirements of 42 U.S.C. §
7410(a)(2)(A)–(C), (D)(i)(II)–(H), & (J)-(M) for the 2008 ozone NAAQS, *Final Rule*, 81
Fed. Reg. 18,766 (Apr. 1, 2016);

1

25

26

27

28

WHEREAS, Claim 2 is therefore moot as to the approved portions of California's 2 SIP addressing the requirements of 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(A), (B) (except as to the 3 ambient air quality monitoring/data system for the Bakersfield Metropolitan Statistical 4 Area ("MSA") in San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District ("APCD")), (C) 5 (except as to the program for enforcement of control measures and regulation of new and 6 modified stationary sources), (D)(i)(II) (except as to provisions for the Mendocino, North 7 Coast, and Northern Sonoma Air Districts to prohibit sources from interfering with other 8 air agencies' programs to prevent significant deterioration of air quality), (D)(ii)( (except 9 as to the extent addressed by a FIP pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 52.270), (E), (F), (G) (except 10 as to emergency episodes for the Mountain Counties and San Joaquin Valley air quality 11 control regions ("AQCR")), (H), (J) (except as to consultation with government officials, 12 public notification, prevention of significant deterioration, and visibility protection), (K), 13 (L), & (M) for the 2008 ozone NAAQS;

14 WHEREAS, Claim 2 as to California also fails to state a claim as to a FIP 15 addressing the requirements of 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(D)(ii) for the 2008 ozone NAAQS to 16 the extent that the requirements to ensure compliance with 42 U.S.C. § 7426(a)(1) are 17 addressed by a FIP pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 52.270 for the Amador County, Antelope 18 Valley, Bay Area, Calaveras County, Colusa County, El Dorado County, Glenn County, 19 Lake County, Lassen County, Mariposa County, Modoc County, Mojave Desert, 20 Northern Sierra, San Diego County, Shasta County, Siskiyou County, South Coast, 21 Tehama County, Tuolumne County, and Ventura County Air Districts;

22 WHEREAS, the relief requested in the Complaint includes, among other things, 23 an order from this Court to establish a date certain by which EPA must fulfill its 24 obligations;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff and EPA have agreed to a partial settlement of this action without admission of any issue of fact or law, except as expressly provided herein;

> [PROPOSED] PARTIAL CONSENT DECREE CASE NO. 3:15-cv-04328-JD

WHEREAS, Plaintiff and EPA, by entering into this partial Consent Decree (the "Consent Decree"), do not waive or limit any claim, remedy, or defense, on any grounds, related to any final EPA action;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff and EPA consider this Consent Decree to be an adequate and equitable resolution of some of the claims in this matter and therefore wish to effectuate a partial settlement;

WHEREAS, it is in the interest of the public, Plaintiff Sierra Club, Defendant EPA, and judicial economy to resolve a substantial portion of this matter without protracted litigation;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff and EPA agree that this Court has jurisdiction over the matters resolved in this Consent Decree pursuant to the citizen suit provision in CAA section 304(a)(2), 42 U.S.C. § 7604(a)(2), and that venue is proper in the Northern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e) and Civil L.R. 3-2(c)-(d); and

WHEREAS, the Court, by entering this Consent Decree, finds that the Consent Decree is fair, reasonable, in the public interest, and consistent with the Clean Air Act;

NOW THEREFORE, before the taking of testimony, without trial or determination of any issues of fact or law, and upon the consent of Plaintiff Sierra Club and Defendant EPA, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that:

1. The appropriate EPA official shall:

a. sign a notice of final rulemaking to approve, disapprove, conditionally approve, or approve in part and conditionally approve or disapprove in part, certain plans pursuant to sections 110(k)(2)-(4) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 7410(k)(2)-(4), no later than the date indicated below for the following states and elements of section 110(a)(2), 42 U.S.C. §§ 7410(a)(2) for the 2008 ozone NAAQS:

	STATE	SIP ELEMENT(S)	DATE
a.	Louisiana	42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) (prongs 1 and 2)	August 1, 2016
b.	Louisiana	42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(D)(i)(II) (prong 3 only)	October 3, 2016

28

# Case 3:15-cv-04328-JD Document 34-1 Filed 06/21/16 Page 7 of 13

1		STATE	SIP ELEMENT(S)	DATE
2	с.	Louisiana	42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(D)(i)(II)	December 15, 2017
3			(prong 4 only)	
5	d.	New Jersey	42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(D)(i)(II)	September 30, 2016
4			(prongs 3 and 4)	
_	e.	New York	42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(D)(i)	August 15, 2016
5			(prongs 1, 2, and 4)	
6	f.	New York	42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(D)(i)	November 1, 2016
Ŭ			(prong 3)	
7	g.	Wisconsin	42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(D)(i)(I)	August 1, 2016
			(prongs 1 and 2)	
8	h.	Wisconsin	42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(D)(i)(II)	December 16, 2016
9			(prong 3 only)	
	i.	Wyoming	42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(D)(i)(I)	November 18, 2016
0			(prongs 1 and 2)	
1	j.	Wyoming	42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(D)(i)(II)	September 30, 2016
			(prong 3 only)	
2	k.	Wyoming	42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(D)(i)(II)	November 18, 2016
3			(prong 4 only)	

b. sign a notice of final rulemaking to approve a revised SIP submission, promulgate a FIP, or partially approve a revised SIP submission and promulgate a partial FIP for California no later than the date indicated below for the following elements of section 110(a)(2), 42 U.S.C. §§ 7410(a)(2) for the 2008 ozone NAAQS:

	SIP ELEMENT(S)	DATE
1.	42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(B)	December 15, 2017
2.	42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(C),	December 16, 2016
	(D)(i)(II), (J) (with respect to	
	the Northern Sonoma Air	
	District)	
3.	42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(C),	March 15, 2017
	(D)(i)(II), (J) (with respect to	
	the Mendocino Air District)	
4.	42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(C),	March 15, 2017
	(D)(i)(II), (J) (with respect to	
	the North Coast Air District)	
5.	42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(G)	September 23, 2016

2. If any State withdraws a submittal listed above in Paragraph 1(a), then EPA's obligation to take the action required by Paragraph 1(a) with respect to that submittal is automatically terminated unless Plaintiff moves the Court to address EPA's obligation in light of the withdrawn submittal. If Plaintiff files such a motion, EPA's obligation to act on the submittal is stayed pending resolution of said motion. EPA shall notify Plaintiff within ten business days of receiving a written request from a state to withdraw any submittal listed in Paragraph 1(a). Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed as an admission of any issue of fact or law nor to waive or limit any claim, remedy, or defense, on any grounds, related to EPA's obligation in the event that any State withdraws a submittal listed above in Paragraph 1(a) and Plaintiff files a motion pursuant to this Paragraph. If EPA signs a finding of failure to submit for the withdrawn submittal while such a motion is pending, Plaintiff shall withdraw its motion.

3. EPA shall, within 15 business days of signature, send the rulemaking package for each action taken pursuant to Paragraph 1 of this Consent Decree to the Office of the Federal Register for review and publication.

4. After EPA has completed the actions set forth in Paragraph 1 of this Consent
Decree, after notice of each final action required by Paragraph 1 has been published in
the Federal Register, and the issue of costs of litigation (including reasonable attorney
fees) has been resolved), EPA may move to have this Decree terminated. Plaintiff shall
have fourteen (14) days in which to respond to such motion, unless the parties stipulate to
a longer time for Plaintiff to respond.

5. The deadlines established by this Consent Decree may be extended (a) by
written stipulation of Plaintiff and EPA with notice to the Court, or (b) by the Court upon
motion of EPA for good cause shown pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
and upon consideration of any response by Plaintiff and any reply by EPA. Any other
provision of this Consent Decree also may be modified by the Court following motion of
an undersigned party for good cause shown pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil
Procedure and upon consideration of any response by a non-moving party and any reply.

6. If a lapse in EPA appropriations occurs within ninety (90) days prior to a deadline in Paragraph 1 in this Decree, that deadline shall be extended automatically one day for each day of the lapse in appropriations. Nothing in this Paragraph shall preclude EPA from seeking an additional extension of time through modification of this Consent Decree pursuant to Paragraph 5.

7. Plaintiff and EPA agree that this Consent Decree constitutes a complete settlement of Claim 1 as described in Paragraph 1 and Claim 2 as to California as described in Paragraph 1.

8. In the event of a dispute between Plaintiff and EPA concerning the
interpretation or implementation of any aspect of this Consent Decree, the disputing party
shall provide the other party with a written notice, via electronic mail or other means,
outlining the nature of the dispute and requesting informal negotiations. These parties
shall meet and confer in order to attempt to resolve the dispute. If these parties are
unable to resolve the dispute within ten (10) business days after receipt of the notice,
either party may petition the Court to resolve the dispute.

9. No motion or other proceeding seeking to enforce this Consent Decree or for
contempt of Court shall be properly filed unless the procedure set forth in Paragraph 8
has been followed, and the moving party has provided the other party with written notice
received at least ten (10) business days before the filing of such motion or proceeding.

10. The deadline for filing a motion for costs of litigation (including attorney fees) for activities performed prior to entry of the Consent Decree is hereby extended until ninety (90) days after this Consent Decree is entered by the Court. During this period, the Parties shall seek to resolve informally any claim for costs of litigation (including attorney fees), and if they cannot, the Sierra Club will file a motion for costs of litigation (including attorney fees) or a stipulation or motion to extend the deadline to file such a motion. EPA reserves the right to oppose any such request. The Court shall retain jurisdiction to resolve any requests for costs of litigation, including attorney fees.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

[PROPOSED] PARTIAL CONSENT DECREE CASE NO. 3:15-cv-04328-JD 11. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter to enforce the terms of this Consent Decree and to consider any requests for costs of litigation (including attorney fees).

12. Nothing in the terms of this Consent Decree shall be construed (a) to confer upon this Court jurisdiction to review any issues that are within the exclusive jurisdiction of the United States Courts of Appeals under CAA section 307(b)(1),

42 U.S.C. § 7607(b)(1), including final action take pursuant to section 110(k) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k), approving, disapproving, or approving in part and disapproving in part a SIP submittal, or (b) to waive any claims, remedies, or defenses that the parties may have under CAA section 307(b)(1), 42 U.S.C. § 7607(b)(1).

13. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to limit or modify any discretion accorded EPA by the Clean Air Act or by general principles of administrative law in taking the actions which are the subject of this Consent Decree, including the discretion to alter, amend, or revise any final actions promulgated pursuant to this Consent Decree. EPA's obligation to perform each action specified in this Consent Decree does not constitute a limitation or modification of EPA's discretion within the meaning of this paragraph.

14. Except as expressly provided herein, nothing in this Consent Decree shall be
construed as an admission of any issue of fact or law nor to waive or limit any claim,
remedy, or defense, on any grounds, related to any final action EPA takes with respect to
the actions addressed in this Consent Decree.

15. Plaintiff reserves the right to seek additional costs of litigation (including
reasonable attorney fees) incurred subsequent to entry of this Consent Decree. EPA
reserves the right to oppose any such request for additional costs of litigation (including
attorney fees).

16. It is hereby expressly understood and agreed that this Consent Decree was
jointly drafted by Plaintiff and EPA. Accordingly, the parties hereby agree that any and
all rules of construction to the effect that ambiguity is construed against the drafting party

shall be inapplicable in any dispute concerning the terms, meaning, or interpretation of 2 this Consent Decree.

1

13

14

15

3 17. The parties agree and acknowledge that before this Consent Decree can be 4 finalized and entered by the Court, EPA must provide notice of this Consent Decree in 5 the Federal Register and an opportunity for public comment pursuant to CAA section 113(g), 42 U.S.C. § 7413(g). After this Consent Decree has undergone notice and 6 7 comment, the Administrator and/or the Attorney General, as appropriate, shall promptly 8 consider any written comments in determining whether to withdraw or withhold their 9 consent to the Consent Decree, in accordance with CAA section 113(g). If the 10 Administrator and/or the Attorney General do not elect to withdraw or withhold consent, 11 EPA shall promptly file a motion that requests that the Court enter this Consent Decree.

12 18. Any notices required or provided for by this Consent Decree shall be in writing, via electronic mail or other means, and sent to the following (or to any new address of counsel as filed and listed in the docket of the above-captioned matter, at a future date):

16	For Plaintiff Sierra Club:	
17		Robert Ukeiley 255 Mountain Meadows Road
18		Boulder, CO 80302
19		Tel: 303-442-4033 Email: rukeiley@igc.org
		Email. Tukeney@igc.org
20		Zachary Fabish
21		Staff Attorney
22		Sierra Club Environmental Law Program 50 F St. NW, 8th Floor
23		Washington, DC 20001
24		Tel: 202-675-7917 Zachary.fabish@sierraclub.org
25	Ean Dafan Jant EDA	
23	For Defendant EPA:	Leslie M. Hill U.S. Department of Justice
26		Environment & Natural Resources Division
27		Environmental Defense Section
28		601 D Street N.W., Suite 8000 Washington D.C. 20004

1

# Tel. (202) 514-0375 Email: leslie.hill@usdoj.gov

2	Email: leslie.hill@usdoj.gov		
3	19. EPA and Plaintiff recognize and acknowledge that the obligations imposed		
4	upon EPA under this Consent Decree can only be undertaken using appropriated funds		
5	legally available for such purpose. No provision of this Consent Decree shall be		
6	interpreted as or constitute a commitment or requirement that the United States obligate		
7	or pay funds in contravention of the Anti-Deficiency Act, 31 U.S.C. § 1341, or any other		
8	applicable provision of law.		
9	20. If for any reason the Court should decline to approve this Consent Decree in		
10	the form presented, this agreement is voidable at the sole discretion of either party and		
11	the terms of the proposed Consent Decree may not be used as evidence in any litigation		
12	between the parties.		
13	21. The undersigned representatives of Plaintiff Sierra Club and Defendant EPA		
14	certify that they are fully authorized by the party they represent to consent to the Court's		
15	entry of the terms and conditions of this Decree.		
16			
17	SO ORDERED on this day of, 2016.		
18			
19			
20	JAMES DONATO UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
21			
22			
22			
23	//		
23 24			
24 25 26			
24 25 26 27			
24 25 26			
24 25 26 27			
24 25 26 27	// [Proposed] Partial Consent Decree Case No. 3:15-cv-04328-JD		
24 25 26 27			

	Case 3:15-cv-04328-JD	Document 34-1 Filed 06/21/16 Page 13 of 13
1	COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF:	
2		
3		/s/ Robert Ukeiley (email authorization 6/15/16)
4		Robert Ukeiley, Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> Law Office of Robert Ukeiley
5		255 Mountain Meadows Road Boulder, CO 80302
6		Tel. (303) 442-4033
7		Email: rukeiley@igc.org
8		KRISTIN HENRY (Cal. Bar. No. 220908) Sierra Club
9		85 Second Street, 2nd Floor
10		San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel. (415) 977-5716
11		kristin.henry@sierraclub.org
12		Attorneys for Plaintiff Sierra Club
13	COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT	: JOHN C. CRUDEN
14		Assistant Attorney General
15		Environment & Natural Resources Division
16		
17		/s/ Leslie M. Hill LESLIE M. HILL (D.C. Bar No. 476008)
18		Environmental Defense Section
19		601 D Street N.W., Suite 8000 Washington D.C. 20004
20		Tel. (202) 514-0375 Email: Leslie.Hill@usdoj.gov
21		
22		Attorneys for Defendant EPA
23		Of counsel:
24		Stephanie Hogan
25		Zach Pilchen Office of General Counsel
26		U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
27		
28		
		[PROPOSED] PARTIAL CONSENT DECREE CASE NO. 3:15-cv-04328-JD