	Case3:14-cv-00964-JD Docume	ent27-1	Filed07/23/14	Page1 of 9		
1	SAM HIRSCH					
2	Acting Assistant Attorney General Environment & Natural Resources Division					
3	United States Department of Justice					
4	LESLIE M. HILL (D.C. Bar No. 476008) Leslie.Hill@usdoj.gov					
5	Environmental Defense Section 601 D Street N.W., Suite 8000					
6	Washington D.C. 20004					
7	Telephone (202) 514-0375 Facsimile (202) 514-8865					
8	Attorneys for Defendant					
9	ROBERT UKEILEY, Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> rukeiley@igc.org Law Office of Robert Ukeiley 507 Center St. Berea, KY 40403 Telephone (859) 986-5402 [additional attorneys for Plaintiff included in signature block]					
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff					
16 17	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
17	FOR THE NORTHERN D			RNIA		
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION					
20						
20	SIERRA CLUB,	Case	No.: 3:14-cv-009	964-JD		
22	Plaintiff,	[PRO	OPOSED] CONS	SENT DECREE		
23	v.					
24	GINA McCARTHY, in her official capacity	7				
25	as the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency,					
26						
27	Defendant.					
28						
				OSED] CONSENT DECREE E NO. 3:14-cv-00964-JD		

WHEREAS, on March 3, 2014, Plaintiff Sierra Club ("Plaintiff") filed the abovecaptioned matter against Gina McCarthy, in her official capacity as Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (hereinafter "EPA" or "Defendant");

WHEREAS, Plaintiff alleges that EPA has failed to undertake certain nondiscretionary duties under the Clean Air Act ("CAA"), 42 U.S.C. §§ 7401-7671q, and that such alleged failure is actionable under section 304(a)(2) of the CAA, § 7604(a)(2);

WHEREAS, Plaintiff alleges that EPA has failed to perform a duty mandated by CAA section 110(k)(1)(B), 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(1)(B), to find that certain states, as follows, failed to submit state implementation plan ("SIP") revisions for the state or certain areas to address the PM_{2.5} prevention of significant deterioration ("PSD") increments and implementing regulations as promulgated by EPA on October 20, 2010, *Final Rule, Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) for Particulate Matter Less Than 2.5 Micrometers (PM2.5)--Increments, Significant Impact Levels (SILs) and Significant Monitoring Concentration (SMC), 75 Fed. Reg. 64,864 (Oct. 20, 2010):*

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

AREA/STATEPinal County, ArizonaArkansasClark County, NevadaVermontOhioNorth Coast Management District, CaliforniaWisconsin

WHEREAS, Plaintiff alleges that EPA required states to submit SIPs addressing these requirements by July 20, 2012, 75 Fed. Reg. at 64,898;

WHEREAS, Arizona's statewide SIP submission, which became complete by operation of law on April 29, 2013, addresses these requirements for Pinal County,

[PROPOSED] CONSENT DECREE CASE NO. 3:14-cv-00964-JD

Case3:14-cv-00964-JD Document27-1 Filed07/23/14 Page3 of 9

Arizona, and therefore, as to Pinal County, Arizona, the complaint fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted;

1

2

21

28

3 WHEREAS, EPA took final action finding that Arkansas failed to submit a SIP 4 revision to address the PM_{2.5} PSD increments and implementing regulations, *Final Rule*, 5 Finding of Failure To Submit a Prevention of Significant Deterioration State

Implementation Plan Revision for Particulate Matter Less Than 2.5 Micrometers (PM_{2.5}); 6

7 Arkansas, 79 Fed. Reg. 29,354 (May 22, 2014), and therefore the claim as to Arkansas is 8 moot:

9 WHEREAS, Nevada submitted a SIP revision addressing these requirements for 10 Clark County, Nevada on April 1, 2014, which EPA determined was complete on May 5, 11 2014, and therefore the claim as to Clark County, Nevada, is moot;

12 WHEREAS, Ohio submitted a SIP revision addressing these requirements for the state on June 19, 2014, which EPA determined was complete on June 27, 2014, and 13 14 therefore the claim as to Ohio is moot;

15 WHEREAS, the relief requested in the Complaint includes, among other things, 16 an order from this Court to establish a date certain by which EPA must fulfill its 17 obligations;

18 WHEREAS, Plaintiff and EPA have agreed to a settlement of this action without 19 admission of any issue of fact or law, except as expressly provided herein;

20 WHEREAS, Plaintiff and EPA, by entering into this Consent Decree, do not waive or limit any claim, remedy, or defense, on any grounds, related to any final EPA 22 action:

23 WHEREAS, Plaintiff and EPA consider this Consent Decree to be an adequate and equitable resolution of all the claims in this matter and therefore wish to effectuate a 24 25 settlement;

26 WHEREAS, it is in the interest of the public, Plaintiff Sierra Club, Defendant 27 EPA, and judicial economy to resolve this matter without protracted litigation;

Case3:14-cv-00964-JD Document27-1 Filed07/23/14 Page4 of 9

WHEREAS, Plaintiff and EPA agree that this Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to the citizen suit provision in CAA section 304(a)(2), 42 U.S.C. § 7604(a)(2), and that venue is proper in the Northern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e) and N.D. Cal. Civ. Local Rule 3-2(c)-(d); and

WHEREAS, the Court, by entering this Consent Decree, finds that the Consent Decree is fair, reasonable, in the public interest, and consistent with the Clean Air Act;

NOW THEREFORE, before the taking of testimony, without trial or determination of any issues of fact or law, and upon the consent of Plaintiff Sierra Club and Defendant EPA, it is hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that:

1. The appropriate EPA official shall sign a notice or notices by no later than August 15, 2014, finding that Vermont, Wisconsin, and California (as to the North Coast Management District) have failed to submit SIPs addressing the PM_{2.5} PSD increments and implementing regulations, as promulgated by EPA on October 20, 2010.

2. If any of these States makes a complete submission addressing these
requirements prior to August 15, 2014, then EPA's obligation to take the action required
by Paragraph 1 is automatically terminated.

3. EPA shall, within 15 days of signature, deliver notice of each action taken pursuant to paragraph 1 of this Consent Decree to the Office of the Federal Register for review and publication.

4. After EPA has completed the actions set forth in Paragraph 1 of this Consent
Decree and after notice of each final action required by paragraph 1 has been published in
the Federal Register, and the issue of costs of litigation, including attorneys fees has been
resolved, EPA may move to have this Decree terminated and the action dismissed.
Plaintiff shall have fourteen (14) days in which to respond to such motion, unless the
parties stipulate to a longer time for Plaintiff to respond.

5. The deadlines established by this Consent Decree may be extended (a) by
written stipulation of Plaintiff and EPA with notice to the Court, or (b) by the Court upon
motion of EPA for good cause shown pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure

1

Case3:14-cv-00964-JD Document27-1 Filed07/23/14 Page5 of 9

and upon consideration of any response by Plaintiff and any reply by EPA. Any other provision of this Consent Decree also may be modified by the Court following motion of an undersigned party for good cause shown pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and upon consideration of any response by a non-moving party and any reply.

5 6 7

8

9

10

11

19

20

21

22

1

2

3

4

6. If a lapse in appropriations occurs within one hundred and twenty (120) days prior to the deadline in Paragraph 1 in this Decree, that deadline shall be extended automatically one day for each day of the lapse in appropriations.

7. Plaintiff and EPA agree that this Consent Decree shall constitute a complete and final settlement of all claims that Plaintiff has asserted in this case. The Sierra Club therefore discharges and covenants not to sue the United States, including EPA, for any such claims.

8. In the event of a dispute between Plaintiff and EPA concerning the
interpretation or implementation of any aspect of this Consent Decree, the disputing party
shall provide the other party with a written notice outlining the nature of the dispute and
requesting informal negotiations. These parties shall meet and confer in order to attempt
to resolve the dispute. If these parties are unable to resolve the dispute within ten (10)
business days after receipt of the notice, either party may petition the Court to resolve the
dispute.

9. No motion or other proceeding seeking to enforce this Consent Decree or for contempt of Court shall be properly filed unless the procedure set forth in Paragraph 8 has been followed, and the moving party has provided the other party with written notice received at least ten (10) business days before the filing of such motion or proceeding.

10. The deadline for filing a motion for costs of litigation (including attorney
fees) for activities performed prior to entry of the Consent Decree is hereby extended
until ninety (90) days after this Consent Decree is entered by the Court. During this
period, the Parties shall seek to resolve informally any claim for costs of litigation
(including attorney fees), and if they cannot, the Sierra Club will file a motion for costs of
litigation (including attorney fees) or a stipulation or motion to extend the deadline to file

such a motion. EPA reserves the right to oppose any such request. The Court shall retain jurisdiction to resolve any requests for costs of litigation, including attorney fees.

11. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter to enforce the terms of this Consent Decree and to consider any requests for costs of litigation, including attorney fees.

12. Nothing in the terms of this Consent Decree shall be construed (a) to confer upon this Court jurisdiction to review any issues that are within the exclusive jurisdiction of the United States Courts of Appeals under CAA section 307(b)(1),
42 U.S.C. § 7607(b)(1), including final action take pursuant to section 110(k) of the CAA, 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k), approving, disapproving, or approving in part and disapproving in part a SIP submittal, or (b) to waive any claims, remedies, or defenses that the parties may have under CAA section 307(b)(1), 42 U.S.C. § 7607(b)(1).

13. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to limit or modify any discretion accorded EPA by the Clean Air Act or by general principles of administrative law in taking the actions which are the subject of this Consent Decree, including the discretion to alter, amend, or revise any final actions promulgated pursuant to this Consent Decree. EPA's obligation to perform each action specified in this Consent Decree does not constitute a limitation or modification of EPA's discretion within the meaning of this paragraph.

14. Except as expressly provided herein, nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed as an admission of any issue of fact or law nor to waive or limit any claim, remedy, or defense, on any grounds, related to any final action EPA takes with respect to the actions addressed in this Consent Decree.

15. Plaintiff reserves the right to seek additional costs of litigation, including reasonable attorney fees, incurred subsequent to entry of this Consent Decree and arising from Plaintiff's need to enforce or defend against efforts to modify its terms or the underlying schedule outlined herein, or for any other unforeseen continuation of this

> [PROPOSED] CONSENT DECREE CASE NO. 3:14-cv-00964-JD

action. EPA reserves the right to oppose any such request for additional costs of litigation, including attorney fees.

16. It is hereby expressly understood and agreed that this Consent Decree was jointly drafted by Plaintiff and EPA. Accordingly, the parties hereby agree that any and all rules of construction to the effect that ambiguity is construed against the drafting party shall be inapplicable in any dispute concerning the terms, meaning, or interpretation of this Consent Decree.

17. The parties agree and acknowledge that before this Consent Decree can be finalized and entered by the Court, EPA must provide notice of this Consent Decree in the Federal Register and an opportunity for public comment pursuant to CAA section 113(g), 42 U.S.C. § 7413(g). After this Consent Decree has undergone notice and comment, the Administrator and/or the Attorney General, as appropriate, shall promptly consider any written comments in determining whether to withdraw or withhold their consent to the Consent Decree, in accordance with CAA section 113(g). If the Administrator and/or the Attorney General do not elect to withdraw or withhold consent, EPA shall promptly file a motion that requests that the Court enter this Consent Decree.

18. Any notices required or provided for by this Consent Decree shall be in
writing, via electronic mail or other means, and sent to the following (or to any new
address of counsel as filed and listed in the docket of the above-captioned matter, at a
future date):

Image: For Plaintiff Sierra Club:Part Plaintiff Sierra Club:<td

1	1			
2	For Defendant EPA: Leslie M	Hill Artment of Justice		
3	3 Environm	nent & Natural Resources Division		
4		nental Defense Section reet N.W., Suite 8000		
5		ton D.C. 20004) 514-0375		
6	Email: la	slie.hill@usdoj.gov		
7	19. EPA and Plaintiff recognize and acknowledge that the obligations imposed			
8	upon EPA under this Consent Decree can only be undertaken using appropriated funds			
9	legally available for such purpose. No provision of this Consent Decree shall be			
0	interpreted as or constitute a commitment or requirement that the United States obligate			
1	or pay funds in contravention of the Anti-Deficiency Act, 31 U.S.C. § 1341, or any other			
2	applicable provision of law.			
3	20. If for any reason the Court should decline to approve this Consent Decree in			
4	the form presented, this agreement is voidable at the sole discretion of either party and			
5	the terms of the proposed Consent Decree may not be used as evidence in any litigation			
6	between the parties.			
7	21. The undersigned representatives of Plaintiff Sierra Club and Defendant EPA			
8	certify that they are fully authorized by the party they represent to consent to the Court's			
9	entry of the terms and conditions of this Decree.			
0	0			
1	1	2014		
2	2 SO OKDERED on uns day of	, 2014.		
3	3			
4	4	JAMES DONATO		
5	5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
6				
7				
8	8			
		[PROPOSED] CONSENT DECREE CASE NO. 3:14-cv-00964-JD		

	Case3:14-cv-00964-JD	Document27-1 Filed07/23/14 Page9 of 9
1	COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF:	
2		
3		/s/ Robert Ukeiley (email authorization 7/22/14) Robert Ukeiley, Admitted <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>
4		Law Office of Robert Ukeiley 507 Center Street
5		Berea, KY 40403
6		Tel: (859) 986-5402 Email: rukeiley@igc.org
7		
8		KRISTIN HENRY (Cal. Bar. No. 220908) Sierra Club
9		85 Second Street, 2nd Floor San Francisco, CA 94105
10		Telephone (415) 977-5716
11		kristin.henry@sierraclub.org
12		Attorneys for Plaintiff Sierra Club
13	COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT:	SAM HIRSCH
14	COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT.	Acting Assistant Attorney General
15		Environment & Natural Resources Division
16		
17		/s/ Leslie M. Hill LESLIE M. HILL (D.C. Bar No. 476008)
18		Environmental Defense Section
19		601 D Street N.W., Suite 8000 Washington D.C. 20004
20		Tel. (202) 514-0375 Email: Laslia Hill@uadai.gov
21		Email: Leslie.Hill@usdoj.gov
22		Attorneys for Defendant EPA
22		Of counsel:
23		Stephanie Hogan
25		Office of General Counsel
		U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
26		
27		
28		
		[PROPOSED] CONSENT DECREE CASE NO. 3:14-cv-00964-JD