

Attachment 2

Monitor ID	State	County	5 Year Weighted Average Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)
10030010	Alabama	Baldwin	9.49	9.8	8.21	8.48	8.21	8.48
10270001	Alabama	Clay	9.74	10.07	7.99	8.26	7.97	8.23
10331002	Alabama	Colbert	9.7	9.97	8.29	8.52	8.35	8.58
10491003	Alabama	DeKalb	10.41	10.79	8.63	8.94	8.6	8.91
10550010	Alabama	Etowah	10.7	11.12	8.91	9.25	8.88	9.22
10690003	Alabama	Houston	9.62	9.99	8.29	8.6	8.3	8.61
10730023	Alabama	Jefferson	12.59	12.99	10.88	11.22	10.97	11.32
10731005	Alabama	Jefferson	11	11.29	9.41	9.65	9.44	9.68
10731009	Alabama	Jefferson	9.97	9.97	8.42	8.42	8.44	8.44
10731010	Alabama	Jefferson	11.4	11.62	9.59	9.78	9.62	9.81
10732003	Alabama	Jefferson	11.71	12.03	10.2	10.47	10.29	10.56
10732006	Alabama	Jefferson	11.08	11.08	9.51	9.51	9.58	9.58
10735002	Alabama	Jefferson	10.55	10.55	8.8	8.8	8.84	8.84
10735003	Alabama	Jefferson	10.39	10.39	8.68	8.68	8.69	8.69
10890014	Alabama	Madison	10.48	10.96	8.98	9.39	9.12	9.54
10970003	Alabama	Mobile	9.45	9.8	8.15	8.45	8.2	8.5
10972005	Alabama	Mobile	9.17	9.17	7.9	7.9	7.92	7.92
11011002	Alabama	Montgomery	10.88	11.15	9.45	9.68	9.52	9.74
11030011	Alabama	Morgan	10.02	10.55	8.56	9.01	8.69	9.14
11130001	Alabama	Russell	11.87	12.24	10.31	10.62	10.21	10.52
11170006	Alabama	Shelby	9.75	9.75	8.23	8.23	8.26	8.26
11210002	Alabama	Talladega	11.05	11.47	9.22	9.57	9.22	9.57
11250004	Alabama	Tuscaloosa	10.21	10.55	8.76	9.04	8.83	9.11
11270002	Alabama	Walker	10.84	10.84	9.21	9.21	9.2	9.2
40031005	Arizona	Cochise	6.77	6.95	7	7.19	7.36	7.56
40051008	Arizona	Coconino	5.47	5.87	5.43	5.83	5.48	5.88
40130019	Arizona	Maricopa	10.44	11.15	9.78	10.45	9.38	10.03
40131003	Arizona	Maricopa	7.49	7.49	7.09	7.09	6.9	6.9
40134003	Arizona	Maricopa	9.38	9.66	8.84	9.1	8.54	8.79
40137020	Arizona	Maricopa	5.61	5.63	5.3	5.32	5.17	5.19

Monitor ID	State	County	5 Year Weighted Average Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)
40139812	Arizona	Maricopa	11.48	11.48	10.79	10.79	10.38	10.38
40139997	Arizona	Maricopa	8.31	8.45	7.79	7.92	7.5	7.62
40190011	Arizona	Pima	5.52	5.61	5.2	5.28	4.99	5.06
40191028	Arizona	Pima	5.52	5.79	5.18	5.43	4.96	5.2
40210001	Arizona	Pinal	9.36	9.44	8.99	9.07	8.82	8.89
40213002	Arizona	Pinal	6.9	6.97	6.64	6.71	6.56	6.63
40230004	Arizona	Santa Cruz	10.07	11.03	9.97	10.92	10.04	10.99
40252002	Arizona	Yavapai	4.14	4.25	4.08	4.18	4.13	4.23
40278011	Arizona	Yuma	7.7	7.82	7.46	7.59	7.42	7.55
50010011	Arkansas	Arkansas	10.51	10.76	9.16	9.38	9.06	9.28
50030005	Arkansas	Ashley	10.48	10.77	9.32	9.58	9.36	9.62
50350005	Arkansas	Crittenden	10.94	11.15	9.15	9.33	8.92	9.09
50450002	Arkansas	Faulkner	10.76	10.76	9.46	9.46	9.36	9.36
50510003	Arkansas	Garland	10.75	10.97	9.52	9.72	9.46	9.65
50670001	Arkansas	Jackson	10	10.25	8.59	8.8	8.47	8.67
51070001	Arkansas	Phillips	10.67	10.67	9.21	9.21	9.09	9.09
51130002	Arkansas	Polk	10.67	10.8	9.58	9.7	9.58	9.69
51150003	Arkansas	Pope	11.34	11.34	10.09	10.09	10.03	10.03
51190007	Arkansas	Pulaski	11.6	11.87	10.13	10.37	9.93	10.16
51191004	Arkansas	Pulaski	11.49	11.76	10.02	10.25	9.83	10.06
51191008	Arkansas	Pulaski	12.01	12.23	10.55	10.75	10.36	10.55
51390006	Arkansas	Union	11.07	11.4	9.94	10.24	9.94	10.23
51430005	Arkansas	Washington	10.67	11.03	9.43	9.75	9.37	9.68
51450001	Arkansas	White	11.26	11.26	9.94	9.94	9.85	9.85
60010007	California	Alameda	7.71	8.21	7.16	7.62	6.99	7.45
60010009	California	Alameda	9.37	9.97	8.65	9.21	8.56	9.1
60070008	California	Butte	10.09	10.14	9.79	9.83	9.55	9.59
60070008	California	Butte	10.09	10.14	9.79	9.83	9.55	9.59
60090001	California	Calaveras	7.76	8.4	7.26	7.86	6.99	7.55
60111002	California	Colusa	6.56	7.06	6.35	6.83	6.21	6.68
60130002	California	Contra Costa	7.43	7.77	6.93	7.24	6.79	7.09
60190011	California	Fresno	14.74	15.46	13.69	14.36	13.09	13.72

Monitor ID	State	County	5 Year Weighted Average Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)
60192009	California	Fresno	7.63	7.84	7.09	7.29	6.72	6.91
60195001	California	Fresno	16.44	16.94	15.43	15.9	14.9	15.36
60195025	California	Fresno	14.33	14.67	13.43	13.75	12.94	13.22
60231002	California	Humboldt	6.01	6.15	5.91	6.06	5.9	6.05
60231004	California	Humboldt	6.21	6.42	6.12	6.33	6.1	6.31
60250005	California	Imperial	13.64	13.76	14.19	14.32	14.83	14.97
60250007	California	Imperial	7.24	7.46	7.19	7.42	7.32	7.55
60251003	California	Imperial	7.31	7.36	7.34	7.4	7.53	7.59
60271003	California	Inyo	7.38	7.58	7.22	7.42	7.18	7.38
60290014	California	Kern	15.77	16.45	14.24	14.85	13.78	14.37
60290016	California	Kern	17.02	18.18	15.4	16.43	14.94	15.93
60311004	California	Kings	16.33	16.98	15.38	16.01	14.82	15.4
60333001	California	Lake	3.51	3.75	3.37	3.6	3.34	3.57
60370002	California	Los Angeles	11.2	11.2	10.14	10.14	10.01	10.01
60371002	California	Los Angeles	12.92	13.65	11.6	12.25	11.42	12.07
60371103	California	Los Angeles	12.68	13.08	11.3	11.65	11.13	11.47
60371201	California	Los Angeles	10.34	10.58	9.26	9.46	9.2	9.4
60371302	California	Los Angeles	12.66	13.39	11.18	11.81	11.02	11.64
60371602	California	Los Angeles	12.52	13.25	11.16	11.81	11	11.63
60374002	California	Los Angeles	10.99	11.45	9.54	9.92	9.4	9.78
60374004	California	Los Angeles	10.83	11.19	9.37	9.68	9.24	9.54
60392010	California	Madera	18.32	18.58	17.37	17.62	16.9	17.14
60410001	California	Marin	9.53	9.54	8.94	8.95	8.86	8.87
60450006	California	Mendocino	8.55	8.55	8.29	8.29	8.18	8.18
60452002	California	Mendocino	8.23	8.23	8.06	8.06	7.97	7.97
60470003	California	Merced	14.54	16.05	13.84	15.27	13.52	14.92
60472510	California	Merced	11.08	11.72	10.44	11.05	10.13	10.72
60531003	California	Monterey	6.15	6.22	5.74	5.81	5.66	5.72
60570005	California	Nevada	4.38	4.59	4.18	4.37	4.13	4.32
60571001	California	Nevada	6.39	7.02	6.14	6.75	6.06	6.65
60590007	California	Orange	10.77	11.06	9.55	9.8	9.41	9.66
60592022	California	Orange	8.32	8.65	7.28	7.57	7.09	7.37

Monitor ID	State	County	5 Year Weighted Average Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)
60610003	California	Placer	5.8	5.8	5.56	5.56	5.49	5.49
60610006	California	Placer	7.54	7.91	7.14	7.49	6.92	7.26
60631006	California	Plumas	9.59	10.21	9.33	9.93	9.17	9.76
60650009	California	Riverside	7.74	7.74	7.11	7.11	7.07	7.07
60651003	California	Riverside	11.63	12.04	10.38	10.75	10.09	10.45
60652002	California	Riverside	7.4	7.72	6.96	7.26	7.03	7.33
60655001	California	Riverside	6.24	6.35	5.94	6.04	6	6.1
60658001	California	Riverside	13.6	14.15	12.25	12.74	11.99	12.47
60658005	California	Riverside	15.31	15.9	13.89	14.41	13.63	14.15
60670006	California	Sacramento	9.94	10.39	9.5	9.93	9.29	9.71
60670010	California	Sacramento	9.19	9.5	8.77	9.06	8.57	8.86
60674001	California	Sacramento	9.06	9.31	8.63	8.88	8.44	8.67
60690002	California	San Benito	5.51	5.63	5.1	5.22	4.99	5.1
60710025	California	San Bernardino	13.03	13.65	11.79	12.35	11.61	12.15
60712002	California	San Bernardino	12.63	12.9	11.43	11.68	11.26	11.5
60718001	California	San Bernardino	8.62	8.9	8.25	8.52	8.23	8.5
60719004	California	San Bernardino	11.86	12.1	10.77	10.98	10.59	10.8
60730001	California	San Diego	9.88	9.89	9.26	9.27	9.14	9.15
60730003	California	San Diego	10.79	11.18	10.17	10.54	9.99	10.35
60731002	California	San Diego	10.89	11.47	10.08	10.61	9.88	10.4
60731010	California	San Diego	10.86	11.02	10.07	10.22	9.85	9.99
60731016	California	San Diego	9.09	9.4	8.27	8.55	8.03	8.3
60731016	California	San Diego	9.09	9.4	8.27	8.55	8.03	8.3
60750005	California	San Francisco	9.51	9.9	8.78	9.15	8.69	9.05
60771002	California	San Joaquin	12.09	13.78	11.49	13.09	11.16	12.71
60772010	California	San Joaquin	10.15	10.15	9.57	9.57	9.22	9.22
60792004	California	San Luis Obispo	8.41	8.67	7.79	8.02	7.66	7.89
60792006	California	San Luis Obispo	6.25	6.55	5.71	5.99	5.56	5.82
60792007	California	San Luis Obispo	11.33	11.33	10.6	10.6	10.44	10.44
60798001	California	San Luis Obispo	7.1	7.68	6.58	7.1	6.38	6.88

Monitor ID	State	County	5 Year Weighted Average Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)
60811001	California	San Mateo	8.8	9.3	8.17	8.64	8.08	8.54
60830011	California	Santa Barbara	9.59	9.85	9	9.24	9.01	9.25
60831008	California	Santa Barbara	7.24	7.64	6.73	7.1	6.64	7.01
60850002	California	Santa Clara	8.11	8.39	7.55	7.8	7.38	7.63
60850005	California	Santa Clara	9.79	10.47	9.16	9.81	9.03	9.66
60870007	California	Santa Cruz	6.25	6.29	5.84	5.88	5.75	5.79
60890004	California	Shasta	5.42	5.73	5.31	5.62	5.29	5.59
60932001	California	Siskiyou	5.54	6.34	5.45	6.24	5.43	6.22
60950004	California	Solano	9.15	9.56	8.53	8.91	8.39	8.76
60970003	California	Sonoma	8.15	8.43	7.81	8.07	7.73	7.99
60990005	California	Stanislaus	13.25	13.61	12.5	12.84	12.03	12.34
60990006	California	Stanislaus	15.27	15.65	14.44	14.79	13.97	14.31
61010003	California	Sutter	7.3	7.7	6.97	7.34	6.77	7.13
61072002	California	Tulare	15.54	16.59	14.63	15.6	14.06	14.96
61110007	California	Ventura	9.13	9.34	8.25	8.44	8.18	8.37
61110009	California	Ventura	8.41	8.55	7.72	7.86	7.67	7.8
61111004	California	Ventura	8.98	8.98	8.49	8.49	8.45	8.45
61112002	California	Ventura	9.09	9.25	8.2	8.35	8.17	8.31
61113001	California	Ventura	8.94	9.17	8.1	8.31	8.04	8.25
61131003	California	Yolo	6.87	7.16	6.52	6.79	6.36	6.62
80010006	Colorado	Adams	8.06	8.06	7.38	7.38	7	7
80050005	Colorado	Arapahoe	6.29	6.29	5.72	5.72	5.43	5.43
80130003	Colorado	Boulder	6.92	6.92	6.47	6.47	6.33	6.33
80130012	Colorado	Boulder	6.27	6.27	5.85	5.85	5.64	5.64
80310002	Colorado	Denver	7.63	7.63	6.98	6.98	6.62	6.62
80310023	Colorado	Denver	7.51	7.51	6.86	6.86	6.5	6.5
80310025	Colorado	Denver	7.24	7.24	6.6	6.6	6.25	6.25
80350004	Colorado	Douglas	5.68	5.68	5.2	5.2	4.95	4.95
80410017	Colorado	El Paso	5.87	5.87	5.48	5.48	5.28	5.28
80690009	Colorado	Larimer	6.32	6.32	5.96	5.96	5.81	5.81
80770017	Colorado	Mesa	8.6	8.6	8.34	8.34	8.1	8.1
80830006	Colorado	Montezuma	6.05	6.28	6	6.23	5.99	6.22

Monitor ID	State	County	5 Year Weighted Average Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)
81030006	Colorado	Rio Blanco	9.55	9.55	9.48	9.48	9.59	9.59
81230006	Colorado	Weld	7.25	7.25	6.78	6.78	6.69	6.69
81230008	Colorado	Weld	7.49	7.49	7	7	6.98	6.98
90010010	Connecticut	Fairfield	9.35	9.39	7.94	7.96	7.56	7.58
90011123	Connecticut	Fairfield	8.99	9.31	7.73	7.99	7.36	7.62
90013005	Connecticut	Fairfield	9.21	9.36	7.7	7.81	7.35	7.46
90019003	Connecticut	Fairfield	8.69	8.98	7.24	7.48	6.91	7.13
90031003	Connecticut	Hartford	8.05	8.21	7.04	7.18	6.77	6.9
90032006	Connecticut	Hartford	8.78	8.95	7.74	7.87	7.44	7.57
90050005	Connecticut	Litchfield	5.63	5.74	4.77	4.86	4.64	4.72
90090027	Connecticut	New Haven	9.22	9.55	7.84	8.12	7.45	7.71
90091123	Connecticut	New Haven	9.45	9.64	8.12	8.27	7.69	7.83
90092123	Connecticut	New Haven	9.18	9.48	8.02	8.26	7.61	7.85
90113002	Connecticut	New London	8.19	8.44	7.07	7.28	6.77	6.97
100010002	Delaware	Kent	8.66	9.1	7.05	7.39	6.83	7.17
100010003	Delaware	Kent	8.93	9.37	7.31	7.65	7.07	7.41
100031003	Delaware	New Castle	9.56	9.93	7.76	8.05	7.5	7.78
100031007	Delaware	New Castle	9.03	9.6	7.24	7.68	7	7.43
100031012	Delaware	New Castle	10.08	10.47	8.24	8.54	7.96	8.25
100032004	Delaware	New Castle	10.35	10.67	8.52	8.77	8.23	8.47
100051002	Delaware	Sussex	8.97	9.44	7.3	7.67	7.09	7.45
110010041	District Of Columbia	District of Columbia	10.29	10.63	8.42	8.68	8.18	8.44
110010042	District Of Columbia	District of Columbia	10.06	10.45	8.21	8.51	7.98	8.28
110010043	District Of Columbia	District of Columbia	10.04	10.32	8.15	8.37	7.93	8.14
120990008	Florida	Palm Beach	7.37	7.77	6.93	7.3	7	7.38
120990009	Florida	Palm Beach	6.84	7.23	6.25	6.61	6.14	6.5
130210007	Georgia	Bibb	12.78	13.39	11.19	11.72	11.02	11.54
130210012	Georgia	Bibb	9.93	10.48	8.47	8.93	8.35	8.8
130510017	Georgia	Chatham	10.7	10.71	9.06	9.07	8.9	8.91
130510091	Georgia	Chatham	10.58	10.96	8.96	9.28	8.81	9.12
130590002	Georgia	Clarke	10.35	10.76	8.46	8.78	8.3	8.61

Monitor ID	State	County	5 Year Weighted Average Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)
130630091	Georgia	Clayton	11.97	12.56	9.95	10.43	9.59	10.05
130670004	Georgia	Cobb	11.1	11.1	9.09	9.09	8.77	8.77
130890002	Georgia	DeKalb	11.31	11.91	9.26	9.74	8.87	9.33
130950007	Georgia	Dougherty	12.05	12.05	10.64	10.64	10.63	10.63
131150003	Georgia	Floyd	11.72	12.27	9.82	10.26	9.65	10.08
131210039	Georgia	Fulton	13.08	13.21	10.91	11.01	10.46	10.57
131390003	Georgia	Hall	10.22	10.74	8.41	8.82	8.21	8.62
131530001	Georgia	Houston	10.45	11.04	9	9.5	8.88	9.37
132150001	Georgia	Muscogee	12.58	12.65	10.94	10.99	10.84	10.89
132450005	Georgia	Richmond	11.65	11.66	9.92	9.92	9.79	9.79
132450091	Georgia	Richmond	12.05	12.05	10.26	10.26	10.13	10.13
132950002	Georgia	Walker	10.16	10.45	8.39	8.64	8.16	8.4
133190001	Georgia	Wilkinson	12.27	13.05	10.72	11.42	10.59	11.28
160050020	Idaho	Bannock	6.45	7.69	6.33	7.55	6.15	7.34
160590004	Idaho	Lemhi	11.59	11.96	11.42	11.79	11.29	11.65
160790017	Idaho	Shoshone	12.34	12.77	12.01	12.43	11.8	12.22
180030004	Indiana	Allen	10.51	10.97	8.66	9.05	8.22	8.58
180190006	Indiana	Clark	12.91	13.52	10.44	10.93	10.13	10.61
180190008	Indiana	Clark	10.8	11.42	8.44	8.92	8.19	8.65
180350006	Indiana	Delaware	10.74	11.24	8.9	9.33	8.49	8.89
180372001	Indiana	Dubois	12.23	12.91	9.96	10.51	9.59	10.12
180390008	Indiana	Elkhart	11.1	11.66	9.33	9.81	8.87	9.31
180431004	Indiana	Floyd	11.6	12.31	9.21	9.79	8.94	9.5
180510012	Indiana	Gibson	11.43	11.43	9.34	9.34	9.02	9.02
180550001	Indiana	Greene	9.89	9.89	7.77	7.77	7.51	7.51
180650003	Indiana	Henry	10.43	11.06	8.53	9.05	8.13	8.62
180670003	Indiana	Howard	11.61	11.61	9.71	9.71	9.26	9.26
180830004	Indiana	Knox	11.7	11.7	9.57	9.57	9.23	9.23
180890006	Indiana	Lake	11.36	11.73	9.49	9.81	9.02	9.33
180890027	Indiana	Lake	11.52	11.52	9.62	9.62	9.16	9.16
180890031	Indiana	Lake	12.04	12.36	10.18	10.46	9.69	9.96
180892004	Indiana	Lake	11.11	11.42	9.26	9.52	8.81	9.06

Monitor ID	State	County	5 Year Weighted Average Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)
180892010	Indiana	Lake	11.07	11.13	9.24	9.3	8.78	8.83
180910011	Indiana	LaPorte	9.96	10.22	8.25	8.48	7.87	8.08
180950011	Indiana	Madison	10.08	10.08	8.23	8.23	7.87	7.87
180970078	Indiana	Marion	11.79	12.28	9.55	9.94	9.12	9.5
180970081	Indiana	Marion	12.57	13.13	10.3	10.76	9.83	10.27
180970083	Indiana	Marion	12.37	13.01	10.12	10.64	9.66	10.16
180970084	Indiana	Marion	12.29	12.79	10.04	10.44	9.59	9.97
181050003	Indiana	Monroe	10.14	10.41	8.06	8.28	7.81	8.02
181270024	Indiana	Porter	10.73	11.14	8.94	9.29	8.53	8.86
181410015	Indiana	St. Joseph	10.54	11.05	8.81	9.24	8.39	8.79
181470009	Indiana	Spencer	11.82	12.43	9.62	10.12	9.27	9.75
181570008	Indiana	Tippecanoe	10.51	10.96	8.63	9.01	8.27	8.62
181630016	Indiana	Vanderburgh	12.06	12.71	10.04	10.58	9.7	10.22
181630021	Indiana	Vanderburgh	11.78	12.36	9.74	10.23	9.41	9.88
181670018	Indiana	Vigo	11.8	12.4	9.74	10.24	9.32	9.79
181830003	Indiana	Whitley	9.61	9.61	7.92	7.92	7.53	7.53
190130008	Iowa	Black Hawk	10.63	10.63	9.19	9.19	8.79	8.79
190130009	Iowa	Black Hawk	10.28	10.57	8.87	9.12	8.49	8.72
190450019	Iowa	Clinton	11.34	11.72	9.71	10.04	9.28	9.58
190450021	Iowa	Clinton	10.56	11.11	8.98	9.44	8.57	9.01
190550001	Iowa	Delaware	9.49	9.6	8.08	8.17	7.77	7.85
191032001	Iowa	Johnson	10.29	10.77	8.79	9.21	8.43	8.82
191110008	Iowa	Lee	11.14	11.36	9.68	9.88	9.33	9.51
191130037	Iowa	Linn	9.91	9.91	8.38	8.38	8.02	8.02
191130040	Iowa	Linn	10.19	10.58	8.75	9.08	8.38	8.69
191370002	Iowa	Montgomery	9.1	9.37	7.9	8.13	7.66	7.88
191390015	Iowa	Muscatine	12.1	12.79	10.43	11.02	10.01	10.57
191390016	Iowa	Muscatine	10.94	11.33	9.3	9.63	8.93	9.25
191390018	Iowa	Muscatine	11.6	12.09	9.94	10.37	9.54	9.95
191390020	Iowa	Muscatine	11.52	11.6	9.86	9.93	9.48	9.55
191471002	Iowa	Palo Alto	8.82	9	7.66	7.8	7.38	7.51
191530030	Iowa	Polk	9.52	9.67	8	8.13	7.64	7.76

Monitor ID	State	County	5 Year Weighted Average Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)
191532510	Iowa	Polk	9.46	9.59	7.95	8.06	7.59	7.68
191550009	Iowa	Pottawattamie	10.7	11.05	9.2	9.5	8.82	9.1
191630015	Iowa	Scott	10.85	11.41	9.13	9.6	8.73	9.18
191630018	Iowa	Scott	11.02	11.47	9.3	9.67	8.89	9.24
191630019	Iowa	Scott	11.42	12.22	9.69	10.37	9.27	9.91
191630020	Iowa	Scott	11.27	11.79	9.52	9.96	9.11	9.52
191770006	Iowa	Van Buren	9.4	9.62	8.11	8.3	7.86	8.05
191930019	Iowa	Woodbury	9.65	9.87	8.42	8.61	8.11	8.29
200910007	Kansas	Johnson	8.32	8.32	7.08	7.08	6.87	6.87
200910010	Kansas	Johnson	7.76	8.06	6.55	6.8	6.38	6.63
201070002	Kansas	Linn	9.08	9.35	7.85	8.08	7.72	7.94
201730008	Kansas	Sedgwick	8.99	9.05	7.9	7.95	7.73	7.78
201730009	Kansas	Sedgwick	9.24	9.44	8.15	8.32	7.98	8.15
201730010	Kansas	Sedgwick	8.87	8.93	7.79	7.84	7.62	7.67
201770013	Kansas	Shawnee	9.1	9.19	7.96	8.04	7.79	7.87
201910002	Kansas	Sumner	8.56	8.64	7.52	7.59	7.38	7.45
202090021	Kansas	Wyandotte	10.09	10.37	8.74	8.98	8.46	8.69
202090022	Kansas	Wyandotte	8.78	8.78	7.49	7.49	7.26	7.26
210130002	Kentucky	Bell	10.83	11.27	9.08	9.45	8.88	9.24
210190017	Kentucky	Boyd	10.44	10.84	8.45	8.78	8.22	8.54
210290006	Kentucky	Bullitt	12.18	12.18	9.85	9.85	9.53	9.53
210373002	Kentucky	Campbell	10.53	11.14	8.02	8.49	7.68	8.13
210430500	Kentucky	Carter	8.71	9.08	6.91	7.19	6.78	7.06
210470006	Kentucky	Christian	10.51	10.74	8.69	8.88	8.47	8.66
210590005	Kentucky	Daviess	11.73	12.26	9.63	10.07	9.33	9.75
210670012	Kentucky	Fayette	10.59	11.2	8.35	8.82	8.1	8.56
210930006	Kentucky	Hardin	11.08	11.08	8.83	8.83	8.57	8.57
211010014	Kentucky	Henderson	11.22	11.7	9.2	9.59	8.91	9.29
211110067	Kentucky	Jefferson	12.38	12.72	9.83	10.08	9.53	9.78
211451004	Kentucky	McCracken	10.84	11.1	8.94	9.15	8.72	8.92
211510003	Kentucky	Madison	9.37	9.86	7.27	7.64	7.08	7.44
211950002	Kentucky	Pike	9.42	9.74	7.59	7.84	7.44	7.69

Monitor ID	State	County	5 Year Weighted Average Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)
212270008	Kentucky	Warren	11.03	11.03	9.18	9.18	8.96	8.96
220170008	Louisiana	Caddo	11.5	11.75	10.4	10.62	10.48	10.71
220190009	Louisiana	Calcasieu	8.46	8.65	7.51	7.69	7.53	7.7
220190010	Louisiana	Calcasieu	8.8	9.12	7.79	8.06	7.81	8.08
220330009	Louisiana	East Baton Rouge	9.95	10.23	8.73	8.97	8.86	9.11
220470005	Louisiana	Iberville	9.78	10	8.7	8.9	8.93	9.13
220470009	Louisiana	Iberville	8.77	8.9	7.62	7.73	7.78	7.89
220511001	Louisiana	Jefferson	8.7	8.98	7.44	7.67	7.51	7.75
220512001	Louisiana	Jefferson	9.03	9.26	7.62	7.8	7.69	7.88
220550007	Louisiana	Lafayette	8.89	9.1	7.83	8.01	7.97	8.15
220730004	Louisiana	Ouachita	9.14	9.36	8.05	8.24	8.1	8.29
220790002	Louisiana	Rapides	8.56	8.78	7.48	7.68	7.51	7.71
220870007	Louisiana	St. Bernard	10.23	10.59	8.74	9.04	8.79	9.1
221050001	Louisiana	Tangipahoa	8.8	9	7.53	7.71	7.53	7.71
221090001	Louisiana	Terrebonne	8.26	8.57	7.18	7.44	7.35	7.62
221210001	Louisiana	West Baton Rouge	10.5	10.83	9.27	9.56	9.41	9.7
230010011	Maine	Androscoggin	7.5	7.52	6.86	6.87	6.49	6.5
230031011	Maine	Aroostook	6.53	6.74	6.3	6.51	6.14	6.34
230050015	Maine	Cumberland	8.37	8.51	7.59	7.73	7.23	7.35
230050029	Maine	Cumberland	8.17	8.4	7.38	7.6	7.04	7.24
230090103	Maine	Hancock	4.59	4.68	4.21	4.3	4.11	4.2
230110016	Maine	Kennebec	7.16	7.26	6.56	6.64	6.22	6.31
230172011	Maine	Oxford	8.2	8.21	7.65	7.66	7.34	7.35
230190002	Maine	Penobscot	7.21	7.31	6.69	6.77	6.39	6.48
240031003	Maryland	Anne Arundel	10.53	10.93	8.71	9.03	8.5	8.81
240051007	Maryland	Baltimore	9.58	10.1	7.76	8.16	7.53	7.93
240053001	Maryland	Baltimore	10.79	11.1	8.89	9.14	8.65	8.89
240150003	Maryland	Cecil	10.27	10.42	8.45	8.56	8.17	8.28
240230002	Maryland	Garrett	8.93	8.93	7.41	7.41	7.27	7.27
240251001	Maryland	Harford	10.11	10.3	8.27	8.45	8.02	8.19
240290002	Maryland	Kent	10.16	10.16	8.47	8.47	8.22	8.22

Monitor ID	State	County	5 Year Weighted Average Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)
240313001	Maryland	Montgomery	10.14	10.49	8.45	8.73	8.26	8.54
240330025	Maryland	Prince George's	10.75	10.75	8.88	8.88	8.64	8.64
240330030	Maryland	Prince George's	10.53	10.79	8.86	9.08	8.67	8.88
240338003	Maryland	Prince George's	8.7	9.13	6.95	7.29	6.76	7.09
240430009	Maryland	Washington	10.89	11.27	9.14	9.45	8.88	9.18
245100006	Maryland	Baltimore (City)	10.01	10.01	8.11	8.11	7.89	7.89
245100007	Maryland	Baltimore (City)	9.79	10.2	7.96	8.28	7.76	8.07
245100008	Maryland	Baltimore (City)	10.4	10.92	8.48	8.89	8.25	8.66
245100040	Maryland	Baltimore (City)	10.97	11.33	9.07	9.36	8.83	9.12
250035001	Massachusetts	Berkshire	8.68	8.9	7.75	7.95	7.42	7.61
250051004	Massachusetts	Bristol	7.58	7.88	6.42	6.67	6.21	6.45
250092006	Massachusetts	Essex	7.17	7.34	6.08	6.23	5.92	6.06
250095005	Massachusetts	Essex	7.31	7.51	6.46	6.63	6.27	6.44
250096001	Massachusetts	Essex	7.91	8.19	7.02	7.26	6.8	7.04
250130008	Massachusetts	Hampden	7.64	7.79	6.68	6.8	6.45	6.57
250130016	Massachusetts	Hampden	9.22	9.47	8.23	8.45	7.93	8.15
250132009	Massachusetts	Hampden	8.77	9.06	7.8	8.05	7.52	7.76
250170009	Massachusetts	Middlesex	7.49	7.7	6.58	6.76	6.4	6.57
250230004	Massachusetts	Plymouth	7.85	8.15	6.71	6.96	6.51	6.76
250250002	Massachusetts	Suffolk	9.01	9.23	7.75	7.94	7.51	7.7
250250027	Massachusetts	Suffolk	8.8	9.18	7.53	7.86	7.3	7.61
250250042	Massachusetts	Suffolk	8.28	8.48	7.03	7.2	6.82	6.98
250250043	Massachusetts	Suffolk	9.87	10.17	8.56	8.82	8.29	8.54
250270016	Massachusetts	Worcester	8.19	8.43	7.24	7.44	7	7.2
250270023	Massachusetts	Worcester	8.71	8.96	7.74	7.96	7.48	7.69
260050003	Michigan	Allegan	8.42	8.52	6.99	7.1	6.71	6.81
260170014	Michigan	Bay	7.81	7.98	6.66	6.82	6.43	6.58
260210014	Michigan	Berrien	8.66	8.82	7.19	7.34	6.88	7.02
260330901	Michigan	Chippewa	6.23	6.23	5.87	5.87	5.71	5.71
260490021	Michigan	Genesee	8.35	8.68	6.99	7.28	6.74	7.02

Monitor ID	State	County	5 Year Weighted Average Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)
260650012	Michigan	Ingham	8.65	8.9	7.26	7.48	7.01	7.22
260770008	Michigan	Kalamazoo	9.16	9.36	7.63	7.8	7.31	7.47
260810007	Michigan	Kent	9.53	9.65	8.05	8.17	7.73	7.84
260810020	Michigan	Kent	9.34	9.48	7.88	8.01	7.56	7.68
260910007	Michigan	Lenawee	9.13	9.41	7.63	7.87	7.33	7.56
260990009	Michigan	Macomb	8.73	9	7.35	7.6	7.12	7.36
261010922	Michigan	Manistee	6.58	6.71	5.76	5.88	5.57	5.68
261130001	Michigan	Missaukee	5.96	6.01	5.25	5.3	5.05	5.1
261150005	Michigan	Monroe	9.72	9.87	8	8.13	7.66	7.78
261210040	Michigan	Muskegon	8.48	8.49	7.17	7.18	6.89	6.89
261250001	Michigan	Oakland	9.23	9.42	7.71	7.89	7.42	7.6
261390005	Michigan	Ottawa	8.99	9.15	7.5	7.64	7.19	7.33
261470005	Michigan	St. Clair	9.13	9.27	7.99	8.12	7.77	7.9
261610008	Michigan	Washtenaw	9.35	9.57	7.81	8.01	7.55	7.74
261630001	Michigan	Wayne	10.26	10.54	8.69	8.93	8.37	8.6
261630015	Michigan	Wayne	10.83	10.9	9.14	9.2	8.82	8.87
261630016	Michigan	Wayne	9.9	10.09	8.38	8.56	8.1	8.27
261630019	Michigan	Wayne	9.67	9.94	8.15	8.4	7.87	8.1
261630025	Michigan	Wayne	9.37	9.48	7.79	7.9	7.51	7.61
261630033	Michigan	Wayne	11.47	11.6	10.02	10.15	9.69	9.81
261630036	Michigan	Wayne	9.18	9.57	7.7	8.05	7.43	7.76
261630038	Michigan	Wayne	10.23	10.26	8.68	8.71	8.39	8.42
261630039	Michigan	Wayne	10.16	10.4	8.58	8.79	8.29	8.49
270031002	Minnesota	Anoka	8.44	8.7	7.64	7.87	7.4	7.62
270370470	Minnesota	Dakota	8.89	9.19	8.04	8.3	7.78	8.02
270530963	Minnesota	Hennepin	8.94	9.5	8.11	8.6	7.88	8.35
270532006	Minnesota	Hennepin	8.83	8.98	8.01	8.13	7.78	7.88
271095008	Minnesota	Olmsted	8.96	9.56	7.8	8.32	7.45	7.93
271230868	Minnesota	Ramsey	9.86	10.01	8.99	9.12	8.71	8.83
271230871	Minnesota	Ramsey	9.15	9.65	8.32	8.75	8.07	8.48
271377001	Minnesota	Saint Louis	5.61	6.13	5.29	5.78	5.18	5.66
271377550	Minnesota	Saint Louis	5.63	5.78	5.15	5.29	4.99	5.11

Monitor ID	State	County	5 Year Weighted Average Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)
271377551	Minnesota	Saint Louis	6.59	6.59	6.09	6.09	5.88	5.88
271377554	Minnesota	Saint Louis	5.62	5.62	5.13	5.13	4.98	4.98
271390505	Minnesota	Scott	8.62	8.82	7.76	7.93	7.5	7.66
271453052	Minnesota	Stearns	8.34	8.6	7.56	7.8	7.26	7.48
271630447	Minnesota	Washington	8.47	8.47	7.6	7.6	7.29	7.29
271630448	Minnesota	Washington	9.21	9.21	8.34	8.34	8.02	8.02
280330002	Mississippi	DeSoto	9.76	9.9	8.18	8.3	8.08	8.19
280350004	Mississippi	Forrest	11.36	11.58	9.83	10.01	9.74	9.92
280430001	Mississippi	Grenada	9.41	9.49	7.98	8.05	7.91	7.98
280450003	Mississippi	Hancock	9.44	9.84	8.09	8.43	8.08	8.41
280470008	Mississippi	Harrison	9.66	9.84	8.22	8.37	8.17	8.33
280490010	Mississippi	Hinds	10.83	11.1	9.39	9.63	9.28	9.51
280590006	Mississippi	Jackson	9.38	9.49	8	8.09	7.93	8.02
280670002	Mississippi	Jones	11.67	11.78	10.08	10.18	10	10.1
280750003	Mississippi	Lauderdale	10.86	10.91	9.32	9.36	9.24	9.27
280810005	Mississippi	Lee	10.77	10.89	9.22	9.31	9.15	9.25
290370003	Missouri	Cass	10.65	11.07	9.33	9.7	9.1	9.47
290390001	Missouri	Cedar	10.48	10.79	9.27	9.55	9.16	9.42
290470005	Missouri	Clay	9.38	9.49	8	8.09	7.76	7.85
290770032	Missouri	Greene	10.15	10.39	8.87	9.08	8.73	8.94
290950034	Missouri	Jackson	10.25	10.49	8.88	9.09	8.59	8.79
290990019	Missouri	Jefferson	10.05	10.31	8.19	8.4	8.05	8.25
291893001	Missouri	Saint Louis	10.89	10.91	8.64	8.65	8.5	8.51
295100007	Missouri	St. Louis City	11.16	11.96	9.07	9.73	8.86	9.49
295100085	Missouri	St. Louis City	11.61	12.01	9.38	9.71	9.15	9.46
300490004	Montana	Lewis and Clark	4.58	4.62	4.51	4.55	4.49	4.53
300490026	Montana	Lewis and Clark	8.45	8.81	8.3	8.64	8.19	8.52
300530018	Montana	Lincoln	11.43	11.52	11.16	11.25	11.02	11.11
300630024	Montana	Missoula	8.52	9.18	8.32	8.97	8.18	8.84
300630037	Montana	Missoula	10.83	10.87	10.62	10.66	10.52	10.56
300750001	Montana	Powder River	5.83	5.83	5.76	5.76	5.77	5.77

Monitor ID	State	County	5 Year Weighted Average Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)
300810007	Montana	Ravalli	10	11.2	9.86	11.07	9.81	11.02
300830001	Montana	Richland	6.81	7.57	6.66	7.41	6.62	7.36
300930005	Montana	Silver Bow	10.07	10.24	9.75	9.92	9.5	9.68
310550019	Nebraska	Douglas	8.93	8.93	7.46	7.46	7.18	7.18
310550052	Nebraska	Douglas	10.34	10.89	8.91	9.38	8.57	9.02
310790004	Nebraska	Hall	7.24	7.37	6.28	6.39	6.12	6.23
311090022	Nebraska	Lancaster	8.57	8.74	7.35	7.5	7.13	7.27
311530007	Nebraska	Sarpy	11.26	11.49	9.73	9.94	9.34	9.53
311770002	Nebraska	Washington	9.09	9.33	7.77	7.98	7.52	7.71
320030540	Nevada	Clark	8.1	8.1	7.44	7.44	7.21	7.21
320030561	Nevada	Clark	8.16	8.83	7.48	8.1	7.24	7.84
320031019	Nevada	Clark	4.12	4.64	3.95	4.44	3.93	4.43
320310016	Nevada	Washoe	6.9	7.57	6.5	7.14	6.27	6.89
330012004	New Hampshire	Belknap	5.91	6	5.29	5.37	5.11	5.18
330050007	New Hampshire	Cheshire	9.27	9.63	8.49	8.8	7.99	8.29
330090010	New Hampshire	Grafton	6.75	6.89	6.12	6.23	5.74	5.85
330111015	New Hampshire	Hillsborough	7.78	7.86	6.98	7.05	6.72	6.79
330131006	New Hampshire	Merrimack	8.48	8.68	7.73	7.91	7.39	7.56
330150014	New Hampshire	Rockingham	7.49	7.49	6.71	6.71	6.47	6.47
340010006	New Jersey	Atlantic	8.14	8.35	6.61	6.78	6.44	6.6
340011006	New Jersey	Atlantic	8.91	9.16	7.35	7.54	7.16	7.35
340030003	New Jersey	Bergen	9.17	9.22	7.44	7.47	7.08	7.11
340071007	New Jersey	Camden	9.51	9.69	7.75	7.88	7.49	7.61
340130003	New Jersey	Essex	9.45	9.53	7.88	7.93	7.5	7.55
340150004	New Jersey	Gloucester	9.3	9.33	7.37	7.41	7.11	7.15
340171003	New Jersey	Hudson	10.15	10.25	8.36	8.43	7.95	8.02
340172002	New Jersey	Hudson	11.1	11.13	9.25	9.28	8.8	8.83
340210008	New Jersey	Mercer	9.54	9.71	8.11	8.25	7.78	7.91
340218001	New Jersey	Mercer	8.17	8.2	6.74	6.77	6.5	6.54
340230006	New Jersey	Middlesex	8.01	8.16	6.74	6.88	6.41	6.53
340270004	New Jersey	Morris	8.39	8.45	7.04	7.09	6.7	6.74
340273001	New Jersey	Morris	7.55	7.64	6.34	6.41	6.09	6.16

Monitor ID	State	County	5 Year Weighted Average Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)
340292002	New Jersey	Ocean	8.48	8.62	7.09	7.19	6.77	6.87
340310005	New Jersey	Passaic	9.32	9.34	7.75	7.76	7.36	7.38
340390004	New Jersey	Union	11.24	11.37	9.37	9.46	8.79	8.88
340390006	New Jersey	Union	9.55	9.59	7.83	7.86	7.38	7.41
340392003	New Jersey	Union	9.66	9.73	7.96	8.02	7.49	7.54
340410006	New Jersey	Warren	9.24	9.4	7.8	7.93	7.5	7.62
340410007	New Jersey	Warren	8.55	8.55	7.22	7.22	6.93	6.93
350010023	New Mexico	Bernalillo	6.36	6.66	6.09	6.38	5.97	6.26
350010024	New Mexico	Bernalillo	6.25	6.71	5.99	6.43	5.87	6.3
350130025	New Mexico	Dona Ana	5.78	6.33	5.64	6.17	5.75	6.3
350250008	New Mexico	Lea	8.02	8.41	7.95	8.34	8.11	8.51
350450019	New Mexico	San Juan	4.6	4.7	4.63	4.73	4.78	4.89
350490020	New Mexico	Santa Fe	4.55	4.88	4.48	4.81	4.54	4.89
360010005	New York	Albany	8.05	8.31	7.02	7.24	6.72	6.93
360010012	New York	Albany	7.16	7.32	6.17	6.32	5.92	6.06
360050080	New York	Bronx	11.91	11.91	9.83	9.83	9.38	9.38
360050133	New York	Bronx	9.78	9.96	7.93	8.07	7.57	7.7
360130011	New York	Chautauqua	7.43	7.47	6.18	6.21	6.03	6.06
360290005	New York	Erie	9.43	9.69	8.05	8.29	7.82	8.05
360310003	New York	Essex	4.33	4.36	3.75	3.77	3.7	3.73
360470122	New York	Kings	9.98	10.27	8.16	8.38	7.8	8.02
360590008	New York	Nassau	8.88	8.88	7.21	7.21	6.93	6.93
360610079	New York	New York	9.78	10.19	7.89	8.21	7.53	7.83
360610128	New York	New York	11.75	11.75	9.81	9.81	9.37	9.37
360610134	New York	New York	11.32	11.67	9.44	9.71	9.02	9.28
360671015	New York	Onondaga	7.52	7.73	6.44	6.63	6.22	6.4
360710002	New York	Orange	8.04	8.19	6.77	6.89	6.51	6.62
360810124	New York	Queens	9.08	9.43	7.39	7.67	7.11	7.39
360850055	New York	Richmond	9.47	9.77	7.72	7.96	7.29	7.51
360850067	New York	Richmond	8.51	8.51	6.86	6.86	6.48	6.48
361010003	New York	Steuben	6.85	7.05	5.7	5.86	5.59	5.75
361030002	New York	Suffolk	8.31	8.43	6.64	6.73	6.39	6.47

Monitor ID	State	County	5 Year Weighted Average Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)
361191002	New York	Westchester	9.09	9.09	7.37	7.37	7.06	7.06
370010002	North Carolina	Alamance	9.53	10.06	7.65	8.05	7.51	7.91
370210034	North Carolina	Buncombe	9.07	9.31	7.21	7.4	7.07	7.25
370330001	North Carolina	Caswell	8.66	8.88	6.85	7	6.71	6.86
370350004	North Carolina	Catawba	10.14	10.6	8.33	8.69	8.15	8.51
370370004	North Carolina	Chatham	8.08	8.51	6.37	6.69	6.26	6.57
370510009	North Carolina	Cumberland	9.78	10.25	8.04	8.4	7.9	8.26
370570002	North Carolina	Davidson	10.77	11.13	8.83	9.11	8.64	8.91
370610002	North Carolina	Duplin	8.57	8.86	6.88	7.11	6.79	7.01
370630015	North Carolina	Durham	9.12	9.71	7.3	7.75	7.14	7.59
370650004	North Carolina	Edgecombe	8.73	9.08	7.03	7.29	6.91	7.17
370670022	North Carolina	Forsyth	9.53	9.96	7.56	7.89	7.39	7.71
370670030	North Carolina	Forsyth	9.46	9.92	7.52	7.87	7.35	7.7
370710016	North Carolina	Gaston	10	10.5	8.08	8.47	7.94	8.32
370810013	North Carolina	Guilford	9.14	9.51	7.23	7.5	7.06	7.33
370810014	North Carolina	Guilford	9.29	9.76	7.36	7.71	7.19	7.54
370870012	North Carolina	Haywood	9.65	9.98	8.18	8.45	8.06	8.32
370990006	North Carolina	Jackson	8.96	9.38	7.4	7.73	7.29	7.62
371010002	North Carolina	Johnston	8.76	9.24	7.03	7.4	6.9	7.27
371070004	North Carolina	Lenoir	8.88	9.33	7.16	7.51	7.05	7.4
371110004	North Carolina	McDowell	9.48	9.76	7.86	8.07	7.73	7.94
371170001	North Carolina	Martin	8.3	8.69	6.56	6.86	6.44	6.74
371190041	North Carolina	Mecklenburg	10.3	10.81	8.36	8.75	8.21	8.6
371190042	North Carolina	Mecklenburg	10.65	11.23	8.71	9.17	8.55	9.01
371190043	North Carolina	Mecklenburg	9.81	10.36	7.87	8.3	7.74	8.16
371210001	North Carolina	Mitchell	8.94	9.25	7.42	7.65	7.33	7.55
371230001	North Carolina	Montgomery	8.88	9.3	7.19	7.5	7.08	7.39
371290002	North Carolina	New Hanover	7.77	8.3	6.06	6.46	6	6.4
371470006	North Carolina	Pitt	8.27	8.67	6.55	6.84	6.44	6.74
371550005	North Carolina	Robeson	9.56	10.01	8.06	8.41	7.94	8.3
371590021	North Carolina	Rowan	9.97	10.46	8.15	8.53	8	8.37
371730002	North Carolina	Swain	9.36	9.7	7.76	8.01	7.64	7.89

Monitor ID	State	County	5 Year Weighted Average Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)
371830014	North Carolina	Wake	9.97	10.06	8.18	8.28	8.01	8.1
371830020	North Carolina	Wake	9.16	9.52	7.36	7.63	7.21	7.48
371890003	North Carolina	Watauga	7.99	8.26	6.42	6.63	6.32	6.53
371910005	North Carolina	Wayne	9.51	9.91	7.81	8.12	7.71	8.02
380070002	North Dakota	Billings	4.38	4.42	4.2	4.24	4.17	4.21
380130004	North Dakota	Burke	6.76	6.76	6.62	6.62	6.56	6.56
380150003	North Dakota	Burleigh	6.6	6.86	6.24	6.48	6.14	6.37
380171004	North Dakota	Cass	7.7	8.13	7.1	7.48	6.92	7.29
380530002	North Dakota	McKenzie	6.46	6.46	6.34	6.34	6.29	6.29
380570004	North Dakota	Mercer	6.14	6.31	5.96	6.12	5.9	6.06
390090003	Ohio	Athens	8.8	9.03	6.87	7.06	6.63	6.81
390170003	Ohio	Butler	12.39	13.03	10.12	10.64	9.64	10.14
390170016	Ohio	Butler	12.16	12.98	9.74	10.4	9.31	9.93
390230005	Ohio	Clark	11.83	12.61	9.53	10.17	9.07	9.67
390250022	Ohio	Clermont	11.34	11.34	8.84	8.84	8.48	8.48
390350034	Ohio	Cuyahoga	10.02	10.37	8.07	8.37	7.79	8.08
390350038	Ohio	Cuyahoga	12.82	13.11	10.81	11.08	10.42	10.68
390350045	Ohio	Cuyahoga	11.99	12.32	9.92	10.19	9.56	9.82
390350060	Ohio	Cuyahoga	12.79	13.02	10.52	10.71	10.13	10.31
390350065	Ohio	Cuyahoga	12.49	12.72	10.42	10.62	10.04	10.23
390351002	Ohio	Cuyahoga	10.36	10.87	8.43	8.85	8.14	8.54
390490024	Ohio	Franklin	11.63	12.15	9.35	9.77	8.86	9.25
390490025	Ohio	Franklin	11.43	11.88	9.17	9.55	8.7	9.05
390490081	Ohio	Franklin	10.82	11.21	8.57	8.9	8.13	8.44
390570005	Ohio	Greene	11.18	12	8.9	9.54	8.48	9.09
390610006	Ohio	Hamilton	11.48	12.18	9.01	9.56	8.62	9.14
390610014	Ohio	Hamilton	13.17	13.82	10.57	11.09	10.1	10.59
390610040	Ohio	Hamilton	11.92	12.72	9.46	10.1	9.06	9.67
390610042	Ohio	Hamilton	13.06	13.84	10.49	11.12	10.03	10.63
390810017	Ohio	Jefferson	12.07	12.46	9.66	9.99	9.33	9.64
390811001	Ohio	Jefferson	11.55	11.75	9.07	9.23	8.76	8.9
390850007	Ohio	Lake	9.54	10.06	7.76	8.19	7.51	7.92

Monitor ID	State	County	5 Year Weighted Average Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)
390870012	Ohio	Lawrence	10.97	11.39	8.92	9.26	8.68	9.01
390933002	Ohio	Lorain	9.64	9.91	8.06	8.3	7.75	7.97
390950024	Ohio	Lucas	10.57	11.06	8.85	9.28	8.43	8.83
390950026	Ohio	Lucas	10.58	11	8.87	9.23	8.43	8.77
390950028	Ohio	Lucas	10.89	11.41	9.14	9.6	8.72	9.14
390990005	Ohio	Mahoning	11.13	11.44	9.28	9.55	8.93	9.19
390990014	Ohio	Mahoning	11.14	11.81	9.3	9.87	8.95	9.49
391130032	Ohio	Montgomery	12.06	12.85	9.69	10.32	9.2	9.8
391330002	Ohio	Portage	10.26	10.93	8.25	8.79	7.94	8.46
391351001	Ohio	Preble	10.66	11.31	8.69	9.22	8.27	8.77
391450013	Ohio	Scioto	10.37	10.92	8.34	8.79	8.07	8.5
391510017	Ohio	Stark	12.85	13.43	10.86	11.35	10.42	10.89
391510020	Ohio	Stark	11.64	12.31	9.7	10.27	9.32	9.86
391530017	Ohio	Summit	11.85	12.58	9.7	10.29	9.29	9.86
391530023	Ohio	Summit	11.08	11.68	8.99	9.48	8.62	9.09
391550005	Ohio	Trumbull	10.57	11.25	8.73	9.28	8.42	8.95
391650007	Ohio	Warren	11.54	11.54	9.23	9.23	8.82	8.82
401090035	Oklahoma	Oklahoma	9.61	9.7	8.63	8.71	8.57	8.65
401091037	Oklahoma	Oklahoma	9.39	9.5	8.4	8.51	8.34	8.45
401210415	Oklahoma	Pittsburg	10.25	10.34	9.29	9.37	9.34	9.42
401359021	Oklahoma	Sequoyah	10.68	10.88	9.49	9.66	9.48	9.65
401431127	Oklahoma	Tulsa	10.46	10.84	9.24	9.56	9.21	9.53
410130100	Oregon	Crook	9.02	9.77	8.93	9.67	8.91	9.65
410250003	Oregon	Harney	9.05	9.46	8.9	9.3	8.83	9.23
410290133	Oregon	Jackson	9.43	10.92	9.3	10.76	9.23	10.69
410330114	Oregon	Josephine	7.76	8.83	7.67	8.72	7.63	8.67
410350004	Oregon	Klamath	10.67	10.98	10.44	10.75	10.32	10.63
410370001	Oregon	Lake	9.66	11.12	9.58	11.03	9.53	10.97
410390060	Oregon	Lane	6.74	6.98	6.65	6.88	6.56	6.79
410391009	Oregon	Lane	5.83	6.05	5.75	5.96	5.68	5.89
410392013	Oregon	Lane	9.32	9.97	9.13	9.77	9.02	9.65
410399004	Oregon	Lane	7.18	7.51	7.06	7.38	6.98	7.29

Monitor ID	State	County	5 Year Weighted Average Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)
410510080	Oregon	Multnomah	7.61	8.12	7.46	7.97	7.29	7.79
410590121	Oregon	Umatilla	7.41	7.59	7.18	7.36	7.09	7.26
410670004	Oregon	Washington	7.82	8.19	7.68	8.04	7.55	7.91
420010001	Pennsylvania	Adams	11.49	11.65	9.72	9.84	9.45	9.57
420030002	Pennsylvania	Allegheny	13.19	14.72	10.76	11.99	10.43	11.62
420030008	Pennsylvania	Allegheny	11.01	11.63	9.03	9.53	8.76	9.25
420030064	Pennsylvania	Allegheny	14.4	15.02	11.67	12.16	11.18	11.65
420030067	Pennsylvania	Allegheny	10.34	11	8.34	8.87	8.1	8.61
420030093	Pennsylvania	Allegheny	9.28	9.72	7.44	7.79	7.23	7.58
420031008	Pennsylvania	Allegheny	11.48	12.41	9.49	10.26	9.2	9.95
420031301	Pennsylvania	Allegheny	12.59	12.69	10.13	10.2	9.74	9.81
420050001	Pennsylvania	Armstrong	11.6	12.33	9.84	10.46	9.58	10.18
420070014	Pennsylvania	Beaver	12	12.4	10.22	10.55	9.89	10.21
420110011	Pennsylvania	Berks	10.88	11.04	9.12	9.29	8.74	8.89
420130801	Pennsylvania	Blair	11.89	11.89	9.64	9.64	9.33	9.33
420170012	Pennsylvania	Bucks	10.88	10.93	9.3	9.32	8.92	8.94
420210011	Pennsylvania	Cambria	12.34	12.4	10.27	10.31	10	10.04
420270100	Pennsylvania	Centre	9.36	9.46	7.7	7.78	7.45	7.52
420290100	Pennsylvania	Chester	12.33	13.69	10.45	11.55	10.07	11.15
420410101	Pennsylvania	Cumberland	11	11.04	9.22	9.26	8.85	8.87
420430401	Pennsylvania	Dauphin	11.97	12.08	10.17	10.26	9.72	9.81
420450002	Pennsylvania	Delaware	12.81	13.08	10.79	11.01	10.41	10.63
420490003	Pennsylvania	Erie	11.6	11.6	10.2	10.2	9.91	9.91
420692006	Pennsylvania	Lackawanna	9.16	9.43	7.84	8.06	7.55	7.76
420710007	Pennsylvania	Lancaster	12.01	12.1	10.04	10.12	9.59	9.66
420750100	Pennsylvania	Lebanon	12.56	12.83	10.67	10.9	10.18	10.4
420850100	Pennsylvania	Mercer	10.44	10.61	8.67	8.81	8.37	8.51
420890002	Pennsylvania	Monroe	7.9	7.9	6.58	6.58	6.34	6.34
420910013	Pennsylvania	Montgomery	9.9	10.07	8.22	8.34	7.93	8.06
420950025	Pennsylvania	Northampton	12.9	13.35	11.32	11.7	10.86	11.23
420950027	Pennsylvania	Northampton	10.58	10.64	9.06	9.14	8.68	8.75
421010004	Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	9.71	10.11	8.26	8.59	7.99	8.31

Monitor ID	State	County	5 Year Weighted Average Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)
421010047	Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	10.81	11.16	8.79	9.07	8.47	8.74
421010055	Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	11.15	11.35	9.07	9.22	8.73	8.88
421010057	Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	10.85	11.11	8.93	9.13	8.62	8.81
421011002	Pennsylvania	Philadelphia	9.6	9.6	8.14	8.14	7.84	7.84
421250005	Pennsylvania	Washington	11.81	12.58	9.55	10.16	9.21	9.8
421250200	Pennsylvania	Washington	10.93	11.32	8.89	9.2	8.63	8.93
421255001	Pennsylvania	Washington	7.79	8.98	6.09	7.01	5.94	6.83
421290008	Pennsylvania	Westmoreland	12.63	13.71	10.73	11.62	10.43	11.3
421330008	Pennsylvania	York	11.48	11.65	9.62	9.75	9.28	9.41
440030002	Rhode Island	Kent	6.15	6.28	5.1	5.19	4.93	5.02
440070022	Rhode Island	Providence	7.94	8.21	6.69	6.91	6.44	6.65
440070026	Rhode Island	Providence	9.38	9.38	8.19	8.19	7.86	7.86
440070028	Rhode Island	Providence	8.12	8.17	6.85	6.89	6.59	6.63
440071010	Rhode Island	Providence	8.06	8.18	6.93	7.03	6.66	6.76
450190048	South Carolina	Charleston	8.89	8.89	7.24	7.24	7.17	7.17
450190049	South Carolina	Charleston	8.75	9.19	7	7.35	6.93	7.27
450250001	South Carolina	Chesterfield	9.15	9.74	7.48	7.94	7.38	7.84
450370001	South Carolina	Edgefield	9.75	10.13	8.08	8.38	7.99	8.29
450410003	South Carolina	Florence	10.26	10.74	8.59	8.97	8.48	8.85
450450009	South Carolina	Greenville	10.74	10.87	8.76	8.87	8.63	8.73
450450015	South Carolina	Greenville	10.68	11.18	8.76	9.15	8.62	9
450450016	South Carolina	Greenville	9.66	9.88	7.74	7.91	7.62	7.78
450630008	South Carolina	Lexington	10.89	11.32	9.16	9.51	8.99	9.34
450790007	South Carolina	Richland	10.06	10.68	8.34	8.83	8.18	8.67
450790019	South Carolina	Richland	10.41	10.74	8.72	8.99	8.57	8.83
450830011	South Carolina	Spartanburg	10.53	10.96	8.62	8.95	8.48	8.81
460110002	South Dakota	Brookings	8.34	8.39	7.39	7.44	7.18	7.22
460130003	South Dakota	Brown	7.67	7.95	7.04	7.29	6.89	7.13
460290002	South Dakota	Codington	9.11	9.55	8.3	8.7	8.08	8.49
460330132	South Dakota	Custer	4.2	4.47	4.03	4.28	4.04	4.28
460710001	South Dakota	Jackson	3.96	4.3	3.7	4.01	3.69	4.01
460990006	South Dakota	Minnehaha	8.83	8.98	7.69	7.82	7.42	7.52

Monitor ID	State	County	5 Year Weighted Average Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)
460990008	South Dakota	Minnehaha	8.28	9.09	7.09	7.76	6.84	7.48
461030020	South Dakota	Pennington	5.6	6.2	5.36	5.95	5.34	5.93
461031001	South Dakota	Pennington	5.89	5.94	5.67	5.72	5.63	5.68
461270001	South Dakota	Union	9.22	9.57	8.11	8.41	7.85	8.14
461270002	South Dakota	Union	8.57	8.7	7.43	7.52	7.18	7.27
470650031	Tennessee	Hamilton	10.79	11.18	8.94	9.26	8.7	9.01
470651011	Tennessee	Hamilton	10.68	11.19	8.8	9.22	8.59	9
470654002	Tennessee	Hamilton	10.67	11.08	8.67	9	8.43	8.75
480290032	Texas	Bexar	9.03	9.23	8.52	8.7	8.61	8.8
480290059	Texas	Bexar	8.94	9.2	8.51	8.76	8.59	8.84
480370004	Texas	Bowie	10.94	11.13	9.9	10.08	9.9	10.08
481130069	Texas	Dallas	10.07	10.13	9.03	9.09	9.08	9.14
481410037	Texas	El Paso	9.3	9.41	9.23	9.34	9.46	9.58
481410044	Texas	El Paso	10.39	11.26	10.37	11.25	10.63	11.54
482010058	Texas	Harris	10.99	11.21	10.25	10.43	10.59	10.79
482011035	Texas	Harris	12.05	12.31	11.29	11.53	11.66	11.91
482030002	Texas	Harrison	10.65	10.87	9.64	9.85	9.67	9.87
482150043	Texas	Hidalgo	10.37	10.45	10.35	10.43	10.58	10.66
483550032	Texas	Nueces	10.28	10.4	9.82	9.93	9.89	10.01
484391002	Texas	Tarrant	10.27	10.54	9.36	9.61	9.42	9.66
484391006	Texas	Tarrant	10.59	10.66	9.69	9.75	9.75	9.81
484530020	Texas	Travis	8.15	8.53	7.58	7.93	7.6	7.95
484530021	Texas	Travis	10.01	10.21	9.44	9.62	9.51	9.69
490030003	Utah	Box Elder	8.03	8.23	7.64	7.83	7.12	7.27
490050004	Utah	Cache	9.4	9.79	8.98	9.36	8.37	8.74
490110004	Utah	Davis	8.65	9.15	8.22	8.7	7.55	7.96
490351001	Utah	Salt Lake	8.03	8.36	7.59	7.9	6.91	7.18
490353006	Utah	Salt Lake	9.35	9.67	8.82	9.12	7.99	8.25
490353010	Utah	Salt Lake	9.6	9.91	9.08	9.37	8.27	8.53
490450003	Utah	Tooele	6.48	6.75	6.25	6.51	5.81	6.02
490490002	Utah	Utah	8.62	9.09	8.52	8.98	7.55	7.94
490494001	Utah	Utah	8.71	9.14	8.53	8.95	7.63	7.97

Monitor ID	State	County	5 Year Weighted Average Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)
490495010	Utah	Utah	8.22	8.5	8.16	8.43	7.2	7.42
490530130	Utah	Washington	4.63	5.07	4.6	5.04	4.63	5.08
490570002	Utah	Weber	9.38	9.73	8.88	9.21	8.16	8.47
490571003	Utah	Weber	8.09	8.41	7.65	7.95	7.06	7.33
500030004	Vermont	Bennington	6.83	6.96	6.1	6.22	5.85	5.96
500070007	Vermont	Chittenden	5.08	5.23	4.54	4.68	4.39	4.52
500070012	Vermont	Chittenden	7.12	7.26	6.53	6.65	6.26	6.38
500210002	Vermont	Rutland	9.49	9.78	8.77	9.04	8.21	8.46
510030001	Virginia	Albemarle	8.4	8.74	6.85	7.12	6.72	6.98
510360002	Virginia	Charles	8.61	8.93	6.93	7.16	6.73	6.96
510410003	Virginia	Chesterfield	9.54	9.6	7.77	7.81	7.56	7.6
510590030	Virginia	Fairfax	9.23	9.64	7.47	7.79	7.28	7.59
510690010	Virginia	Frederick	10.04	10.39	8.36	8.63	8.16	8.43
510870014	Virginia	Henrico	9.22	9.59	7.51	7.8	7.3	7.58
510870015	Virginia	Henrico	8.72	9.03	7	7.24	6.81	7.04
511071005	Virginia	Loudoun	9.27	9.52	7.63	7.82	7.49	7.68
511390004	Virginia	Page	8.79	9.25	7.32	7.69	7.17	7.54
511650003	Virginia	Rockingham	9.66	10.19	8.13	8.57	7.98	8.41
515100009	Virginia	Alexandria City	10.74	10.74	8.89	8.89	8.65	8.65
515200006	Virginia	Bristol City	9.58	9.94	7.88	8.16	7.77	8.05
516500008	Virginia	Hampton City	7.85	7.85	6.22	6.22	6.07	6.07
516800015	Virginia	Lynchburg City	8.4	8.84	6.8	7.14	6.69	7.03
517100024	Virginia	Norfolk City	9.2	9.79	7.47	7.93	7.28	7.74
517700015	Virginia	Roanoke City	9.85	9.91	8.09	8.13	7.89	7.93
517750011	Virginia	Salem City	9.59	9.97	7.84	8.14	7.64	7.94
518100008	Virginia	Virginia Beach City	9.11	9.56	7.34	7.69	7.16	7.5
530110013	Washington	Clark	7.34	7.71	7.13	7.48	6.96	7.31
530330057	Washington	King	10.13	10.13	9.32	9.32	9	9
530330080	Washington	King	6.1	6.32	5.61	5.82	5.45	5.65
530332004	Washington	King	7.13	7.13	6.55	6.55	6.32	6.32
530530029	Washington	Pierce	7.88	8.28	7.33	7.7	7.08	7.44

Monitor ID	State	County	5 Year Weighted Average Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)
530610005	Washington	Snohomish	5.67	5.86	5.2	5.38	5.03	5.2
530610020	Washington	Snohomish	7.02	7.45	6.7	7.11	6.57	6.97
530611007	Washington	Snohomish	7.62	7.92	7.14	7.42	6.95	7.22
530630021	Washington	Spokane	7.69	7.97	7.4	7.67	7.26	7.53
530770009	Washington	Yakima	8.91	9.1	8.3	8.47	7.98	8.15
540030003	West Virginia	Berkeley	11.38	11.83	9.61	9.96	9.34	9.68
540090005	West Virginia	Brooke	12.41	12.96	9.97	10.43	9.61	10.05
540110006	West Virginia	Cabell	11.36	12.11	9.3	9.91	9.03	9.62
540291004	West Virginia	Hancock	11.17	11.71	9.01	9.46	8.72	9.15
540390010	West Virginia	Kanawha	10.49	11.04	8.39	8.83	8.14	8.56
540391005	West Virginia	Kanawha	11.76	12.49	9.58	10.18	9.28	9.86
540490006	West Virginia	Marion	11.34	12.09	9.45	10.07	9.21	9.81
540511002	West Virginia	Marshall	12.46	12.96	10.57	10.99	10.18	10.59
540610003	West Virginia	Monongalia	10.2	10.9	8.25	8.81	8.04	8.58
540690010	West Virginia	Ohio	11.35	11.89	9.11	9.55	8.82	9.25
540810002	West Virginia	Raleigh	9.06	9.58	7.14	7.53	6.97	7.35
541071002	West Virginia	Wood	11.51	12.32	9.56	10.23	9.21	9.85
550030010	Wisconsin	Ashland	5.32	5.52	4.81	4.99	4.67	4.84
550090005	Wisconsin	Brown	9.57	10.37	8.49	9.21	8.11	8.79
550250041	Wisconsin	Dane	9.37	9.37	8.07	8.07	7.72	7.72
550250047	Wisconsin	Dane	10.07	10.64	8.7	9.19	8.29	8.75
550270001	Wisconsin	Dodge	8.99	9.25	7.79	8.01	7.4	7.61
550410007	Wisconsin	Forest	5.57	6.02	4.9	5.29	4.71	5.08
550430009	Wisconsin	Grant	10.04	10.71	8.63	9.21	8.21	8.74
550590019	Wisconsin	Kenosha	9.33	9.7	7.92	8.24	7.6	7.9
550630012	Wisconsin	La Crosse	8.98	9.58	8	8.54	7.62	8.12
550790010	Wisconsin	Milwaukee	10.82	11.14	9.34	9.63	8.9	9.16
550790026	Wisconsin	Milwaukee	10.18	10.77	8.71	9.23	8.3	8.78
550790099	Wisconsin	Milwaukee	9.45	9.45	8.04	8.04	7.67	7.67
550870009	Wisconsin	Outagamie	9.22	9.8	8.15	8.66	7.73	8.21
550890009	Wisconsin	Ozaukee	9.02	9.5	7.71	8.14	7.36	7.76
551110007	Wisconsin	Sauk	8.36	8.98	7.15	7.69	6.79	7.28

Monitor ID	State	County	5 Year Weighted Average Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2009-2013 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2017 (ug/m ³)	Average Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)	Maximum Design Value 2025 (ug/m ³)
551198001	Wisconsin	Taylor	7.62	7.89	6.84	7.08	6.56	6.79
551250001	Wisconsin	Vilas	5.76	6.11	5.17	5.48	4.98	5.28
551330027	Wisconsin	Waukesha	11.26	11.73	9.72	10.14	9.24	9.63
560010006	Wyoming	Albany	4.97	5.01	4.78	4.82	4.72	4.75
560131003	Wyoming	Fremont	8.19	8.46	8.05	8.31	8.02	8.28
560210001	Wyoming	Laramie	4.54	4.75	4.27	4.46	4.23	4.42
560210100	Wyoming	Laramie	3.88	4.22	3.64	3.96	3.61	3.92
560250001	Wyoming	Natrona	4.79	4.84	4.68	4.72	4.68	4.72
560290001	Wyoming	Park	4.55	4.66	4.51	4.62	4.53	4.63
560330002	Wyoming	Sheridan	8.04	8.3	7.9	8.15	7.85	8.09
560330003	Wyoming	Sheridan	5.31	5.31	5.23	5.23	5.21	5.21
560350097	Wyoming	Sublette	3.82	3.82	3.75	3.75	3.75	3.75
560370007	Wyoming	Sweetwater	5.77	5.97	5.54	5.73	5.36	5.55
560391006	Wyoming	Teton	4.94	5.26	4.86	5.17	4.81	5.12