1	KRISTIN A. HENRY (Cal. Bar No. 220908)	
2	Sierra Club 85 Second Street, 2nd Floor	
3	San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 977-5716	
4	Facsimile: (415) 977-5793 Kristin.Henry@sierraclub.org	
5	Counsel for Plaintiff Sierra Club	
6		
7	UNITED STATES DI	
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DIST	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9		
10)) Case No.
11	SIERRA CLUB,)
12	SIERRA CLUB,) COMPLAINT
13	Plaintiff,) FOR DECLARATORY AND) INJUNCTIVE RELIEF
14	v.)
15	GINA MCCARTHY, in her official conscitutes Administrator of the) (Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 7401 <i>et. seq.</i>)
16	in her official capacity as Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency,)
17	Defendant.)
18		_)
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		

INTRODUCTION 1 Plaintiff Sierra Club brings this Clean Air Act citizen suit to compel the United States 2 1. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") to undertake overdue mandatory duties. 3 Specifically, Sierra Club challenges the failure of Defendant, Gina McCarthy, in her official 4 capacity as Administrator of the EPA, to perform certain mandatory duties required by the Clean 5 6 Air Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 7401-7671q. These duties include failure to approve or disapprove under 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2) - (4) state implementation plan elements submittals from Alabama, 7 8 Alaska, Arizona, Colorado, Connecticut, Florida, Georgia, Idaho, Illinois, Indiana, Maryland, 9 Mississippi, New Hampshire, North Carolina, Ohio, Oregon, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Texas, Virginia and West Virginia and failure to make a finding of failure to submit a state 10 11 implementation plan element for Tennessee, all for the 2008 ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard. 12 **JURISDICTION** 13 2. This case is a Clean Air Act citizen suit. Therefore, the Court has jurisdiction over this 14 action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question jurisdiction) and 42 U.S.C. § 7604(a)(2) 15 (citizen suits for failure to perform a non-discretionary duty required by the Clean Air Act). 16 17 **NOTICE** 3. Plaintiff Sierra Club mailed four letters via certified mail, return receipt requested, to 18 EPA Administrators stating that Sierra Club intends to sue the EPA Administrators for the 19 20 violations alleged in this Complaint. More than sixty days have passed since Sierra Club mailed these notice of intent to sue letters. To date, Defendant has not remedied the violations alleged 21 22 in this Complaint. Therefore, an actual controversy exists. 23

VENUE 1 Defendant EPA resides in this judicial district. This civil action is brought against an 2 4. officer of the United States acting in her official capacity and a substantial part of the events or 3 omissions giving rise to the claims in this case occurred in the Northern District of California. In 4 addition, Plaintiff Sierra Club is headquartered in San Francisco and Sierra Club's counsel is 5 6 located in San Francisco. Therefore, venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e). 7 INTRADISTRICT ASSIGNMENT 8 9 5. A substantial part of the events and omissions giving rise to the claims in this case occurred in the County of San Francisco. Accordingly, assignment to the San Francisco 10 Division or the Oakland Division is proper pursuant to Civil L.R. 3-2(c) and (d). 11 **PARTIES** 12 Plaintiff SIERRA CLUB is a national grassroots nonprofit conservation organization 13 6. formed in 1892. 14 7. Sierra Club's purpose includes practicing and promoting the responsible use of earth's 15 ecosystems and resources, and protecting and restoring the quality of the natural and human 16 17 environment. Sierra Club has over 600,000 members nationally. 8. Members and staffs of Sierra Club live, work, recreate, and travel throughout the States 18 at issue in this case and will continue to do so on a regular basis. Ozone in the affected States 19 20 threatens and damages, and will continue to threaten and damage, the health and welfare of Plaintiff's staffs and members. Ozone diminishes Sierra Club staff's and members', as well as 21 22 the public's ability to enjoy the aesthetic qualities and recreational opportunities of the respective 23 areas.

9. EPA's failure to timely perform the mandatory duties described herein also adversely
affect Sierra Club, as well as its staff and members, by depriving them of procedural protection
and opportunities, as well as information that they are entitled to under the Clean Air Act. The
failure of EPA to perform the mandatory duties also creates uncertainty for Sierra Club's staff
and members as to whether they are exposed to excess air pollution.
10. The above injuries will continue until the Court grants the relief requested herein.
11. Defendant Gina McCarthy is the Administrator of the United States Environmental
Protection Agency. In that role Administrator McCarthy has been charged by Congress with the
duty to administer the Clean Air Act, including the mandatory duties at issue in this case.
LEGAL BACKGROUND
12. Congress enacted the Clean Air Act to "speed up, expand, and intensify the war against
air pollution in the United States with a view to assuring that the air we breathe throughout the
Nation is wholesome once again." H.R.Rep. No. 1146, 91st Cong., 2d Sess. 1,1, 1970 U.S.Code
Cong. & Admin. News 5356, 5356. To promote this, the Clean Air Act requires EPA to set
National Ambient Air Quality Standards establishing maximum allowable concentrations for
certain pollutants, including ozone.
13. Adverse impacts arise from ground-level ozone ("ozone") pollution, commonly referred
to as smog. Exposure to ozone pollution causes numerous impacts to a person's respiratory
system, including asthma, pneumonia, and bronchitis, and can result in the permanent scarring of
lung tissue. Moreover, the detrimental effects extend beyond public health. Ozone pollution
also interferes with vegetation's ability to function properly. This interference results in injuries
such as decreased crop yields and damage to native ecosystems.

1	14. The Clean Air Act requires each state to submit a state implementation plan for every
2	promulgation or revision of a National Ambient Air Quality Standard, within three years of that
3	standard's promulgation or revision, that provides for the "implementation, maintenance, and
4	enforcement" of the standard. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(1). These are often referred to as
5	"Infrastructure" state implementation plans. An Infrastructure state implementation plan
6	submittal must meet the requirements listed under 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2). See 42 U.S.C. §§
7	7410(a)(2)(A)-(M).
8	15. The Clean Air Act requires EPA to determine whether any state implementation plan
9	submittal is administratively complete. 42 U.S.C. 7410(k)(1)(B). EPA must make this
10	determination by "no later than 6 months after the date, if any, by which a State is required to
11	submit the plan or revision." <i>Id</i> .
12	16. EPA has a mandatory duty to take final action on any administratively complete state
13	implementation plan submittal by approving in full, disapproving in full, or approving in part
14	and disapproving in part, or conditionally approving, within 12 months of the date the submittal
15	is deemed administratively complete. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2), (3) and (4).
16	17. If a state fails to submit any required state implementation plan, there is no submittal that
17	may be deemed administratively complete, and EPA must make a determination stating that the
18	state failed to submit the required state implementation plan. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(1)(B). This is
19	referred to as a "finding of failure to submit."
20	
21	
22	
23	

1	CLAIMS FOR RELIEF
2	CLAIM ONE
3	(EPA's Failure to find that Tennessee has not Submitted an 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) element of a 2008 ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards Infrastructure State Implementation Plan)
5	18. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 17.
6	19. Pursuant to the Clean Air Act, each state must submit an "Infrastructure" state
7	implementation plan that provides for the "implementation, maintenance, and enforcement" of a
8	National Ambient Air Quality Standard within three years of a standard's promulgation or
9	revision. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(1).
10	20. The Clean Air Act requires EPA to determine whether a state implementation plan
11	submittal is administratively complete. See 42 U.S.C. 7410(k)(1)(B).
12	21. If a state fails to submit any required state implementation plan, there is no submittal that
13	may be deemed administratively complete and EPA must make a determination stating that the
14	state failed to submit the required state implementation plan. See 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(1)(B).
15	This is referred to as a "finding of failure to submit."
16	22. Thus, if a state does not submit a state implementation plan, EPA must make a finding of
17	failure to submit no later than six months after the date by which the state implementation plan
18	submittal was due. See 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(1)(B).
19	23. On March 12, 2008, the EPA promulgated National Ambient Air Quality Standards for
20	ozone. See 73 Fed. Reg. 16436-16514 (March 27, 2008). EPA set a new standard limiting ozone
21	concentrations to no more than 0.075 parts per million over an 8-hour period. See 40 C.F.R. §
22	50.15.
23	

1	24. In accordance with Section 110(a)(1) of the Clean Air Act, States are required to submit
2	SIPs to attain and maintain the National Ambient Air Quality Standards within three years of the
3	promulgation or revision of a National Ambient Air Quality Standard. See 42 U.S.C. §
4	7410(a)(1). In assuring that SIPs attain and maintain the National Ambient Air Quality
5	Standards in accordance with Section 110(a)(1), States must ensure their SIPs include
6	requirements set forth under Section 110(a)(2). See 74 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2).
7	25. States must submit Infrastructure SIPs for the 2008 ozone National Ambient Air Quality
8	Standards by no later than March 12, 2011. See 73 Fed. Reg. 16436, 16503 (March 27, 2008).
9	See also
10	http://www.epa.gov/air/urbanair/sipstatus/reports/al_infrabypoll.html#x110_a_2_ozone_200
11	<u>8</u>
12	26. The state of Tennessee originally submitted a 2008 ozone infrastructure SIP to cover
13	Clean Air Act 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I). However, via a July 3, 2012 letter, Tennessee withdrew this
14	submittal. Therefore, it is currently after September 27, 2011, Tennessee does not have a 2008
15	ozone infrastructure SIP 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) submittal and EPA has not made a finding of failure
16	to submit this element.
17	27. The Administrator is required to make a finding as to whether a State has submitted the
18	required SIP no later than six months after the date by which the State was required to submit
19	such a SIP. See 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(1)(B). Thus, EPA must make findings of failure to submit
20	SIPs for the 2008 ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standards by no later than September 12,
21	2011.
22	28. EPA has not made findings that Tennessee failed to submit the 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) element
23	of an Infrastructure SIP for the 2008 ozone National Ambient Air Quality Standard.

29. Thus, EPA is in violation of its mandatory duty with regard to Tennessee for the
110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) element.
CLAIM TWO
(EPA Failure to Take Final Action on States' Infrastructure State Implementation Plan Submittals.)
30. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 29.
31. The Clean Air Act requires EPA to determine whether any state implementation plan
submittal is administratively complete. See 42 U.S.C. 7410(k)(1)(B).
32. If, six months after a state submits a state implementation plan, EPA has not made the
completeness finding and has not found the submittal to be incomplete, the submittal is deemed
administratively complete by operation of law. <i>Id</i> .
33. EPA must take final action on an administratively complete submittal by approving in
full, disapproving in full, approving in part and disapproving in part or conditionally approving
within 12 months of the date of the submittal's completeness finding. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2) -
(4).
34. EPA has failed to take final action on Alabama's infrastructure state implementation plan
submittal for the 2008 ozone 8-hour NAAQS 110(a)(2)(A) – (H), (J) – (M) elements. By no later
than December 14, 2012, either EPA or operation of law deemed Alabama's state
implementation plan submittal that included the infrastructure requirements under 42 U.S.C. §
7410(a)(2)(A) – (H), (J) – (M) administratively complete. See EPA, Status of State SIP
Infrastructure Requirements—Alabama (available at
http://www.epa.gov/air/urbanair/sipstatus/reports/al_infrabypoll.html#x110_a_2_ozone200
8_ (last viewed July 14, 2014).
35. Under the Clean Air Act, EPA is required to take final action on Alabama's submittal
that addresses these infrastructure requirements by approving in full, disapproving in full, or
approving in part and disapproving in part by no later than December 14, 2013. See 42 U.S.C. §
7410(k)(2) - (4).

1	36. EPA has failed to do so.
2	37. EPA has failed to take final action on Alaska's infrastructure state implementation plan
3	submittal for the 2008 ozone 8-hour NAAQS 110(a)(2)(D)(i) elements. On October 4, 2011,
	either EPA or operation of law deemed Alaska's state implementation plan submittal that
4	included the infrastructure requirements under 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(D)(i) administratively
5	complete. See EPA, Status of State SIP Infrastructure Requirements—Alaska (available at
6	http://www.epa.gov/air/urbanair/sipstatus/reports/ak_infrabypoll.html#x110_a_2_ozone_200
7	8 (last viewed July 14, 2014).
8	38. Under the Clean Air Act, EPA is required to take final action on Alaska's submittal that
	addresses these infrastructure requirements by approving in full, disapproving in full, or
9	approving in part and disapproving in part by October 4, 2012. See 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2) - (4).
10	39. EPA has failed to do so.
11	40. EPA has failed to take final action on Alaska's infrastructure state implementation plan
12	submittal for the 2008 ozone 8-hour NAAQS 110(a)(2)(A)-(C),(D)(ii), (E)-(H), (J)-(M)
13	elements. On September 8, 2012, either EPA or operation of law deemed Alaska's state
	implementation plan submittal that included the infrastructure requirements under 110(a)(2)(A)-
14	(C),(D)(ii), (E)-(H), (J)-(M) administratively complete. See EPA, Status of State SIP
15	Infrastructure Requirements—Alaska (available at
16	http://www.epa.gov/air/urbanair/sipstatus/reports/ak_infrabypoll.html#x110_a_2_ozone_200
17	8_ (last viewed Dec. 18, 2013).
18	41. Under the Clean Air Act, EPA is required to take final action on Alaska's submittal that
	addresses these infrastructure requirements by approving in full, disapproving in full, or
19	approving in part and disapproving in part by September 8, 2013. See 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2) -
20	(4).
21	42. EPA has failed to do so.
22	43. EPA has failed to take final action on Arizona's infrastructure state implementation plan
23	submittal for the 2008 ozone 8-hour NAAQS 110(a)(2)(A) – (H), (J) – (M) elements. By no later
23	than January 3, 2013, either EPA or operation of law deemed Arizona's state implementation

1	plan submittal that included the infrastructure requirements under 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(A) –
2	(H), (J) – (M) administratively complete. See EPA, Status of State SIP Infrastructure
3	Requirements—Arizona (available
4	athttp://www.epa.gov/air/urbanair/sipstatus/reports/az_infrabypoll.html#x110_a_2_ozone_20
	08_ (last viewed July 14, 2014).
5	44. Under the Clean Air Act, EPA is required to take final action on Arizona's submittal that
6	addresses these infrastructure requirements by approving in full, disapproving in full, or
7	approving in part and disapproving in part by no later than January 3, 2014. See 42 U.S.C. §
8	7410(k)(2) - (4).
	45. EPA has failed to do so.
9	46. EPA has failed to take final action on Colorado's infrastructure state implementation plan
10	submittal for the 2008 ozone 8-hour NAAQS 110(a)(2)(A) – (H), (J) – (M) elements. By no
11	later than January 2, 2013, either EPA or operation of law deemed Colorado's state
12	implementation plan submittal that included the infrastructure requirements under 42 U.S.C. §
	7410(a)(2)(A) – (H), (J) – (M) administratively complete. <i>See</i> EPA, Status of State SIP
13	Infrastructure Requirements—Colorado (available at
14	http://www.epa.gov/air/urbanair/sipstatus/reports/co_infrabypoll.html#x110_a_2_ozone_200
15	8_
16	(last viewed July 14, 2014).
17	47. Under the Clean Air Act, EPA is required to take final action on Colorado's submittal
	that addresses these infrastructure requirements by approving in full, disapproving in full, or
18	approving in part and disapproving in part by no later than January 2, 2014. See 42 U.S.C. §
19	7410(k)(2) - (4).
20	48. EPA has failed to do so.
21	49. EPA has failed to take final action on Connecticut's infrastructure state implementation
22	plan submittal for the 2008 ozone 8-hour NAAQS 110(a)(2)(A) – (C), (D)(i)(II), (D)(ii), (E) –
	(H), (J) - (M) elements. By no later than January 3, 2013, either EPA or operation of law
23	deemed Colorado's state implementation plan submittal that included the infrastructure

1	requirements under 42 U.S.C. § $7410(a)(2)(A) - (C)$, $(D)(i)(II)$, $(D)(ii)$, $(E) - (H)$, $(J) - (M)$
2	administratively complete. See EPA, Status of State SIP Infrastructure Requirements—
3	Connecticut (available at
	http://www.epa.gov/air/urbanair/sipstatus/reports/ct_infrabypoll.html#x110_a_2_ozone_200
4	8_
5	(last viewed July 14, 2014).
6	50. Under the Clean Air Act, EPA is required to take final action on Connecticut's submittal
7	that addresses these infrastructure requirements by approving in full, disapproving in full, or
8	approving in part and disapproving in part by no later than January 3, 2014. See 42 U.S.C. §
	7410(k)(2) - (4).
9	51. EPA has failed to do so.
10	52. EPA has failed to take final action on Florida's infrastructure state implementation plan
11	submittal for the 2008 ozone 8-hour NAAQS. By no later than April 30, 2012, either EPA or
12	operation of law deemed Florida's state implementation plan submittal that included the
13	infrastructure requirements under 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) and (II)(Prong 4 visibility
	only). See EPA, Status of State SIP Infrastructure Requirements—Florida (available at
14	http://www.epa.gov/air/urbanair/sipstatus/reports/fl_infrabypoll.html#x110_a_2_ozone2008
15	_ (last viewed Dec. 18, 2013).
16	53. Under the Clean Air Act, EPA is required to take final action on Florida's submittal by
17	approving in full, disapproving in full, or approving in part and disapproving in part by no later
	than April 30, 2013. See 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2) - (4).
18	54. EPA has failed to do so.
19	55. EPA has failed to take final action on Georgia's infrastructure state implementation plan
20	submittal for the 2008 ozone 8-hour NAAQS. By no later than September 6, 2012, either EPA
21	or operation of law deemed Georgia's state implementation plan submittal that included the
22	infrastructure requirements under 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(A) – (C), (D)(i)(II) - (H), (J)-(M)
	administratively complete. See EPA, Status of State SIP Infrastructure Requirements—Georgia
23	(available at

1	http://www.epa.gov/air/urbanair/sipstatus/reports/ga_infrabypoll.html#x110_a_2_ozone_200
2	8_ (last viewed Dec. 18, 2013).
3	56. Under the Clean Air Act, EPA is required to take final action on Georgia's submittal by
	approving in full, disapproving in full, or approving in part and disapproving in part by no later
4	than September 6, 2013. See 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2) - (4).
5	57. EPA has failed to do so.
6	58. EPA has failed to take final action on an Idaho submittal of the infrastructure state
7	implementation plan for the 2008 ozone NAAQS. By no later than September 15, 2008, June
8	25, 2010, and October 25, 2010, Idaho submitted to EPA a SIP submittal intended to meet the
	infrastructure requirements for the 2008 ozone NAAQS including Section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I).
9	See WildEarth Guardians v. EPA, 11-cv-5651-YGR (N.D.Cal.), "Defendant's Statement of
10	Material Facts in Support of Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment," Dk. No. 44-3, Fact 2.
11	Either EPA or operation of law deemed these submittals administratively complete by no later
12	than April 25, 2011.
	59. The Clean Air Act requires EPA to take final action by approving in full, disapproving in
13	full, or approving in part and disapproving in part Idaho's submittals by no later than April 25,
14	2012. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2) - (4).
15	60. EPA has failed to do so for Section 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I).
16	61. EPA has failed to take final action on Illinois' infrastructure state implementation plan
17	submittal for the 2008 ozone 8-hour NAAQS. By no later than January 2, 2013, either EPA or
	operation of law deemed Illinois' state implementation plan submittal that included the
18	infrastructure requirements under 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(A) – (H), (J)-(M) administratively
19	complete. See EPA, Status of State SIP Infrastructure Requirements—Illinois (available at
20	http://www.epa.gov/air/urbanair/sipstatus/reports/il_infrabypoll.html#x110_a_2_ozone_2008
21	_ (last viewed April 21, 2014).
22	62. Under the Clean Air Act, EPA is required to take final action on this Illinois submittal by
	approving in full, disapproving in full, or approving in part and disapproving in part by no later
23	than January 2, 2014. See 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2) - (4).

1	63. EPA has failed to do so.
2	64. EPA has failed to take final action on Indiana's infrastructure state implementation plan
3	submittal for the 2008 ozone 8-hour NAAQS. By no later than June 12, 2012, either EPA or
	operation of law deemed Indiana's state implementation plan submittal that included the
4	infrastructure requirements under 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(A) – (H), (J)-(M) administratively
5	complete. See EPA, Status of State SIP Infrastructure Requirements—Indiana (available at
6	http://www.epa.gov/air/urbanair/sipstatus/reports/in_infrabypoll.html#x110_a_2_ozone_200
7	8 (last viewed Dec. 18, 2013).
8	65. Under the Clean Air Act, EPA is required to take final action on this Indiana submittal by
	approving in full, disapproving in full, or approving in part and disapproving in part by no later
9	than June 12, 2013. See 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2) - (4).
10	66. EPA has failed to do so.
11	67. EPA has failed to take final action on Maryland's infrastructure state implementation
12	plan submittal for the 2008 ozone 8-hour NAAQS. By no later than January 2, 2013, either EPA
13	or operation of law deemed Maryland's state implementation plan submittal that included the
	infrastructure requirements under 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(A) – (H), (J)-(M) administratively
14	complete. See EPA, Status of State SIP Infrastructure Requirements—Maryland (available at
15	http://www.epa.gov/air/urbanair/sipstatus/reports/il_infrabypoll.html#x110_a_2_ozone2008
16	_ (last viewed July 14, 2014).
17	68. Under the Clean Air Act, EPA is required to take final action on this Maryland submittal
18	by approving in full, disapproving in full, or approving in part and disapproving in part by no
	later than January 2, 2014. See 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2) - (4).
19	69. EPA has failed to do so.
20	70. EPA has failed to take final action on Mississippi's infrastructure state implementation
21	plan submittal for the 2008 ozone 8-hour NAAQS. By no later than December 18, 2012, either
22	EPA or operation of law deemed Mississippi's state implementation plan submittal that included
23	the infrastructure requirements under 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(A) – (H), (J)-(M) administratively
۷٥	complete. See EPA, Status of State SIP Infrastructure Requirements—Mississippi (available at

1	http://www.epa.gov/air/urbanair/sipstatus/reports/ms_infrabypoll.html#x110_a_2_ozone_20
2	08_ (last viewed July 14, 2014).
3	71. Under the Clean Air Act, EPA is required to take final action on this Mississippi
4	submittal by approving in full, disapproving in full, or approving in part and disapproving in part
	by no later than December 18, 2013. See 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2) - (4).
5	72. EPA has failed to do so.
6	73. EPA has failed to take final action on North Carolina's infrastructure state
7	implementation plan submittal for the 2008 ozone 8-hour NAAQS. By not later than November
8	15, 2012, either EPA or operation of law deemed North Carolina's state implementation plan
9	submittal that included the infrastructure requirements under 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(A) – (H),
9	(J)-(M) administratively complete. See EPA, Status of State SIP Infrastructure Requirements—
10	North Carolina (available at
11	http://www.epa.gov/air/urbanair/sipstatus/reports/nc_infrabypoll.html#x110_a_2_ozone_200
12	8_ (last viewed July 14, 2014).
13	74. Under the Clean Air Act, EPA is required to take final action on this North Carolina
	submittal by approving in full, disapproving in full, or approving in part and disapproving in part
14	by no later than November 15, 2013. See 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2) - (4).
15	75. EPA has failed to do so.
16	76. EPA has failed to take final action on New Hampshire's infrastructure state
17	implementation plan submittal for the 2008 ozone 8-hour NAAQS. By not later than January 3,
18	2013, either EPA or operation of law deemed New Hampshire's state implementation plan
	submittal that included the infrastructure requirements under 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(A) – (H),
19	(J)-(M) administratively complete. See EPA, Status of State SIP Infrastructure Requirements—
20	New Hampshire (available at
21	http://www.epa.gov/air/urbanair/sipstatus/reports/nh_infrabypoll.html#x110_a_2_ozone200
22	8_ (last viewed July 14, 2014).
23	
-	

1	77. Under the Clean Air Act, EPA is required to take final action on this New Hampshire							
2	submittal by approving in full, disapproving in full, or approving in part and disapproving in part							
3	by no later than January 3, 2014. See 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2) - (4).							
	78. EPA has failed to do so.							
4	79. EPA has failed to take final action on Ohio's infrastructure state implementation plan							
5	submittal for the 2008 ozone 8-hour NAAQS. By not later than January 3, 2013, either EPA or							
6	operation of law deemed Ohio's state implementation plan submittal that included the							
7	infrastructure requirements under 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(A) – (H), (J)-(M) administratively							
8	complete. See EPA, Status of State SIP Infrastructure Requirements—Ohio (available at							
	http://www.epa.gov/air/urbanair/sipstatus/reports/oh_infrabypoll.html#x110_a2_ozone200							
9	8_ (last viewed July 14, 2014).							
10	80. Under the Clean Air Act, EPA is required to take final action on this Ohio submittal by							
11	approving in full, disapproving in full, or approving in part and disapproving in part by no later							
12	than January 3, 2014. See 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2) - (4).							
	81. EPA has failed to do so.							
13	82. EPA has failed to take final action on an Oregon submittal of certain requirements of the							
14	infrastructure state implementation plan for the 2008 ozone NAAQS. By no later than June 23,							
15	2010, Oregon submitted to EPA a SIP submittal intended to meet the 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) element							
16	of the infrastructure requirements for the 2008 ozone NAAQS. See WildEarth Guardians v.							
17	EPA, 11-cv-5651-YGR (N.D.Cal.), "Defendant's Statement of Material Facts in Support of							
	Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment," Dk. No. 44-3, Fact 4. Either EPA or operation of law							
18	deemed this Oregon submittal administratively complete by no later than December 23, 2010.							
19	83. The Clean Air Act requires EPA to take final action by approving in full, disapproving in							
20	full, or approving in part and disapproving in part Oregon's 110(a)(2)(D)(i)(I) submittal by no							
21	later than December 23, 2011. 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2) - (4).							
22	84. EPA has failed to do so.							
<i>LL</i>	85. EPA has failed to take final action on Rhode Island's infrastructure state implementation							

plan submittal for the 2008 ozone 8-hour NAAQS. By no later than January 3, 2013, either EPA

1	or operation of law deemed Rhode Island's state implementation plan submittal that included the							
2	infrastructure requirements under 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(A) – (C), (D)(i)(II), (D)(ii), (E) – (H),							
3	(J) – (M) administratively complete. See EPA, Status of State SIP Infrastructure Requirements—							
4	Rhode Island (available at							
	http://www.epa.gov/air/urbanair/sipstatus/reports/ri_infrabypoll.html#x110_a_2_ozone2008							
5	_ (last viewed July 14, 2014).							
6	86. Under the Clean Air Act, EPA is required to take final action on this submittal by							
7	approving in full, disapproving in full, or approving in part and disapproving in part by no late							
8	than January 3, 2014. See 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2) - (4).							
9	87. EPA has failed to do so.							
	88. EPA has failed to take final action on South Carolina's infrastructure state							
10	implementation plan submittal for the 2008 ozone 8-hour NAAQS. By no later than April 24,							
11	2012, either EPA or operation of law deemed South Carolina's state implementation plan							
12	submittal that included the infrastructure requirements under 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(A) – (H),							
13	(J) - (M) administratively complete. See EPA, Status of State SIP Infrastructure Requirements—							
	South Carolina (available at							
14	http://www.epa.gov/air/urbanair/sipstatus/reports/sc_infrabypoll.html#x110_a_2_ozone200							
15	8_ (last viewed Dec. 18, 2013).							
16	89. Under the Clean Air Act, EPA is required to take final action on this South Carolina							
17	submittal by approving in full, disapproving in full, or approving in part and disapproving in part							
18	by no later than April 24, 2013. See 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2) and (3).							
	90. EPA has failed to do so.							
19	91. EPA has failed to take final action on Texas' infrastructure state implementation plan							
20	submittal for the 2008 ozone 8-hour NAAQS. By no later than December 20, 2012, either EPA							
21	or operation of law deemed Texas' state implementation plan submittal that included the							
22	infrastructure requirements under 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(A) – (H), (J) - (M) administratively							
23	complete. See EPA, Status of State SIP Infrastructure Requirements—Texas (available at							

1	http://www.epa.gov/air/urbanair/sipstatus/reports/tx_infrabypoll.html#x110_a_2_ozone_200							
2	8_ (last viewed July 14, 2014).							
3	92. Under the Clean Air Act, EPA is required to take final action on this Texas submittal by							
	approving in full, disapproving in full, or approving in part and disapproving in part by no later							
4	than December 20, 2014. See 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2) - (4).							
5	93. EPA has failed to do so.							
6	94. EPA has failed to take final action on Virginia's infrastructure state implementation plan							
7	submittal for the 2008 ozone 8-hour NAAQS. By no later than December 20, 2012, either EPA							
8	or operation of law deemed Virginia's state implementation plan submittal that included the							
	infrastructure requirements under 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(D)(i)(II)(PSD prong) administratively							
9	complete. See EPA, Status of State SIP Infrastructure Requirements—Virginia (available at							
10	http://www.epa.gov/air/urbanair/sipstatus/reports/va_infrabypoll.html#x110_a_2_ozone200							
11	8_ (last viewed July 14, 2014).							
12	95. Under the Clean Air Act, EPA is required to take final action on this Virginia submittal							
13	by approving in full, disapproving in full, or approving in part and disapproving in part by no							
	later than December 20, 2013. See 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2) - (4).							
14	96. EPA has failed to do so.							
15	97. EPA has failed to take final action on West Virginia's infrastructure state implementation							
16	plan submittal for the 2008 ozone 8-hour NAAQS. By no later than August 22, 2012, either							
17	EPA or operation of law deemed West Virginia's state implementation plan submittal that							
18	included the infrastructure requirements under 42 U.S.C. § 7410(a)(2)(D)(i)(II)(prong 4) and							
	(E)(ii) administratively complete. See EPA, Status of State SIP Infrastructure Requirements—							
19	West Virginia (available at							
20	http://www.epa.gov/air/urbanair/sipstatus/reports/wv_infrabypoll.html#x110_a_2_ozone_20							
21	08_ (last viewed Dec. 18, 2013); See also DRAFT West Virginia State Implementation Plan							
22	Revision for Clean Air Act Section 110(a)(2)(A)-(M) Requirements for the 2008 8-Hour Ozone							
	National Ambient Air Quality Standards at 7.							
23								

1	98. Under the Clean Air Act, EPA is required to take final action on this West Virginia										
2	submittal by approving in full, disapproving in full, or approving in part and disapproving in part										
3	by no later than August 22, 2013. See 42 U.S.C. § 7410(k)(2) - (4).										
	99. EPA has failed to do so.										
4	REQUEST FOR RELIEF										
5		WHEREFORE, Sierra Club respectfully requests that the Court:									
6	A.	A. Declare that the Administrator is in violation of the Clean Air Act with regard to her									
7	failure to perform each mandatory duty listed above;										
8	B.	B. Issue a mandatory injunction requiring the Administrator to perform her mandatory									
9		duties by certain dates;									
10	C.	C. Retain jurisdiction of this matter for purposes of enforcing and effectuating the Court's									
11		order;									
12	D.	O. Grant Sierra Club its reasonable costs of litigation, including attorneys' and experts' fees;									
13		and									
14	E.	Grant such further relief as the Court deems just and proper.									
15											
16		Respectfully submitted,									
17											
18		/s/Kristin A. Henry									
19		Kristin A. Henry (Cal. Bar No. 220908)									
20		Sierra Club 85 Second Street, 2nd Floor									
		San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 977-5716									
21		Facsimile: (415) 977-5793 <u>Kristin.Henry@sierraclub.org</u>									
22											
23	Dated:	Counsel for Sierra Club July 15, 2014									
		17									

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

purpose of initiating the civil do	ocket sheet. (SEE INSTRUC	TIONS ON NEXT PAGE O	F THIS FO	RM.)	, 1		
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS			
	F First Listed Plaintiff KCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA Address, and Telephone Numbe			County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. Attorneys (If Known)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	One Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff	
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question			For Diversity Cases Only) P	TF DEF 1	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF trincipal Place	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)				2	Another State	
N. MATURE OF CHIE				Citizen or Subject of a 3 5 Foreign Nation 6 6 6 Foreign Country			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		·	FC	RFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment ∞ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 368 Asbestos Personal Injury 369 Assault, Libel & Slander 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEI 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending Property Damage Property Damage Property Damage Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEI 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending Property Damage Property Damage Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEI 370 Other Personal Injury Alaboratory Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS Accommodations 444 Voting 445 Amer. w/Disabilities Other 446 Amer. w/Disabilities Other 448 Education TORTS PERSONAL INJUR Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEI 370 Other Praud As Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEI 371 Truth in Lending Property Damage Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEI 370 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEI 370 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability So Other Personal So Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability So Other Personal Injury Product Liability So Other Personal Injury Prod		TY	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	moved from 3 te Court Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	Appellate Court			er District Litigation		
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.			N D	EMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	y if demanded in complaint: 2:	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD							
KZO'FKXHKQPCN'CUH POGP	V'*EkxkdN0F05/4+						
(Place an "X" in One Box Only)	() SAN FRANCISCO/OAI	KLAND	() SAN JOSE () E	UREKA		

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerk(s) in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the six boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.