

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENT, GREAT LAKES, AND ENERGY

# Fine Scale Modeling in Support of MOOSE

Jay Olaguer Assistant Director Air Quality Division

### Southeast Michigan Ozone Nonattainment Area

- Monitors in Southeast Michigan (SEMI) have exceeded
  O<sub>3</sub> National Ambient Air Quality Standard of 70 ppb.
- Seven counties (St. Clair, Macomb, Oakland, Livingston, Wayne, Washtenaw, Monroe) designated as SEMI ozone nonattainment area by U.S. EPA.
- Bump-up from "marginal" to "moderate" designation is possible based on 2018-2020 ozone data.
- A State Implementation Plan (SIP) and ozone attainment demonstration may be required for SEMI, if bumped up.







### Michigan-Ontario Ozone Source Experiment (MOOSE)

- International / intergovernmental collaboration:
  - United States: Michigan EGLE, U.S. EPA, NASA, NSF, U.S. Forest Service, U.S. Department of Energy
  - Canada: Environment and Climate Change Canada (ECCC), Ontario Ministry of Environment, Conservation, and Parks (MECP)
- 2021 campaign (May 20 Sep 30) deploys:
  - Advanced ground and airborne remote sensing and mobile real-time monitoring techniques
  - Very high spatial and temporal resolution regional and micro-scale chemical transport models



#### **High Resolution Urban Wind Model**



QUIC model used to simulate wind based on 3D LIDAR building morphology Olaguer et al. (2013), J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 118, 11,317–11,326.



### Microscale Forward and Adjoint Chemical Transport (MicroFACT) Model

- 3D Eulerian model simulates transport of 35 species by advection and turbulent diffusion
- Chemistry is simulated by 116 gas-phase and 5 heterogeneous reactions
- Very fine resolution (10 s, 400 m horizontal)
- Model has both forward and adjoint modes
- Can infer emissions at fine scale from MOOSE measurements (inverse modeling)

Olaguer, E.P., Atmosphere 2021, 12, 877. https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos12070877



#### **Chemical Mechanism: MCM vs MicroFACT**



EGLE

7

## **Policy Questions**

- Where does the ozone during an exceedance come from?
  - How critical are lake breeze effects in transporting ozone?
  - Is local chemistry significantly impacted by fine-scale dynamical features? Which sources are most affected?

#### • Which set of ozone precursors need control?

- VOC or NOx-limited? Transitional?
- If VOCs, which species/sources? Are there significant underestimated sources?
- Are primary sources of radicals (e.g., HCHO, HONO) underestimated? How much does this impact ozone productivity and control strategy efficacy in models?
- Does methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) contribute significantly to ozone?



#### **GEM-MACH 2.5-Δkm Ozone Simulation**





#### 10% VOC solvent use emission reduction



Blue areas are VOC sensitive, high in NOx

S-B is sensitivity run minus base run (in ppbv)

Courtesy of Craig Stroud, ECCC



#### **Volatile Chemical Products**

- McDonald et al. (2018) found that volatile chemical products (VCPs)—including pesticides, coatings, printing inks, adhesives, cleaning agents, and personal care products—now constitute half of fossil fuel VOC emissions in industrialized cities.
- Seltzer et al. (2020) predicted larger VCP emissions than the 2017 NEI for approximately half of all U.S. counties, with 5 % of all counties featuring increases > 60%. This will be reflected in the 2020 NEI.

### Formaldehyde (HCHO)

- Formaldehyde (HCHO) is a Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) that is very reactive (lifetime of a few hours). Like other VOCs, it reacts with already available hydroxyl radical (OH).
- HCHO is a powerful ozone precursor due to its ability to generate **new, unrecycled radicals** that fuel  $NO \rightarrow NO_2 \rightarrow O_3$ .
- HCHO is also a **Hazardous Air Pollutant (HAP)** with both cancer and non-cancer (e.g., airway irritation and asthma) health effects.
- **Secondary HCHO** is the by-product of the chemical degradation of other VOCs already in the atmosphere, including biogenic VOCs.
- **Primary HCHO** is emitted by human activities, mostly incomplete combustion, where **HCHO/CO molar ratio is likely between 2-10%**.
- HCHO is likely **underrepresented in official emission inventories** (Olaguer et al., *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 119:2597-2610, 2014).



### CMAQ Model Formaldehyde versus Observations

Formaldehyde - July 2018 average



Courtesy of Kirk Baker, USEPA



### Formaldehyde Source Attribution for a Texas City Refinery



- Winds from QUIC model
- Inverse modeling based on mobile QCL measurements
- Emissions attributed primarily to fluidized cat cracking and desulfurization operations
- Formaldehyde emissions agree with I-DOAS remote sensing measurements (18 kg/hr)
- HCHO/CO molar ratio = 3%

Olaguer et al. (2013), J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 118, 11,317–11,326.

#### OXIDATION CATALYSIS OF NG ENGINES

| Engine                           | CO<br>Emissions<br>(lb/hr) | Average CO<br>Emissions<br>(Ib/hr) | HCHO<br>Emissions<br>(Ib/hr) | Average<br>HCHO<br>emissions<br>(Ib/hr) | Heat input (MMbtu/hr) |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| Engine 1 (oxidation catalyst)    | .13                        | .15                                | .024                         | .030                                    | 17.5                  |
| Engine 2 (oxidation catalyst)    | .17                        |                                    | .026                         |   | 16.1                  |
| Engine 3 (oxidation<br>catalyst) | .07                        |                                    | .019                         |   | 16.7                  |
| Engine 4 (oxidation<br>catalyst) | .24                        |                                    | .049                         |   | 17.1                  |
| Engine 5 (no catalyst)           | 7.31                       | 7.08                               | .71                          | .72                                     | 18.1                  |
| Engine 6 (no catalyst)           | 6.94                       |                                    | .74                          |   | 17.1                  |
| Engine 7 (no catalyst)           | 7.03                       |                                    | .75                          |   | 16.8                  |
| Engine 8 (no catalyst)           | 7.03                       |                                    | .69                          |   | 16.9                  |

Data from 8 4-Stroke Lean Burn NG Engines at a New Jersey natural gas processing facility; 4 of the engines have been equipped with oxidation catalysis. The results demonstrate a 98% reduction in CO emissions, and a 96% reduction in HCHO emissions.

#### After Ratzman (2018)

#### **California Methane Survey: Landfills**



■ Scientific Aviation ■ AVIRIS-NG ◆ EPA



#### Hypothesis: Landfills Create Significant Ozone Plumes

- Landfills emit large amounts of **methane (radical extender)**.
- Landfills also can emit significant amounts of formaldehyde (radical precursor) from on-site gas-to-energy conversion facilities (engines and flares).
- Landfill activities also produce **NOx** and other ozone precursors, including **VOC from landfill gas**.
- The combination of these emissions result in significant ozone plumes that may add at least 1 ppb to ozone design values in Southeast Michigan.





#### MicroFACT Simulation of Ozone Impact of a Hypothetical Landfill



Olaguer, E.P., Atmosphere 2021, 12, 877. https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos12070877





HCHO, NO<sub>2</sub>



HCHO, NO<sub>2</sub>



#### MOOSE Instrument Platforms



### **Drone Measurements at Landfills**

- Negotiated access to 2 landfills in SE Michigan
- Two drone measurement platforms:
  - Aegis IEV2 drone with BlueHalo WP-V2 UAS Weather Payload
    DJI M600 heavy-lift drone with a Scentroid DR1000 and a CH<sub>4</sub> Tunable Diode Laser Absorption Spectrometer (TDLAS)
- Gaussian plume/complex terrain inverse model



### Issues to be Investigated with Fine Scale Air Quality Modeling

- How much is NO<sub>x</sub> diluted and O<sub>3</sub> productivity enhanced by uplift at lake breeze frontal boundaries?
- How much does flaring by steel mills and other large industrial combustion activities contribute to HCHO emissions and nearby community exposure to HCHO?
- Are there large, undocumented emissions of solvents and other VCPs from point sources? What impact do these emissions have on ozone formation downwind?
- Do large urban pipeline leaks of natural gas (CH<sub>4</sub> and other hydrocarbons) significantly enhance the O<sub>3</sub> productivity of co-located VOC and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions?



# Questions?