

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Co-Presidents

George S. Aburn, Jr.
Maryland

Merlyn Hough
Springfield, OR

Co-Vice Presidents

Stuart A. Clark
Washington

Thomas Huynh
Philadelphia, PA

Co-Treasurers

Barry R. Stephens
Tennessee

Ursula Kramer
Tucson, AZ

Past Co-Presidents

David J. Shaw
New York

Barry R. Wallerstein
Los Angeles, CA

Directors

Mark Asmundson
Mount Vernon, WA

Rick Brunetti
Kansas

Robert H. Colby
Chattanooga, TN

Richard Corey
California

Sandra Ely
New Mexico

Anne Gobin
Connecticut

David Klemp
Montana

Bart A. Sponseller
Wisconsin

Richard A. Stedman
Monterey, CA

Executive Director

S. William Becker

Section 111(d) Discussion Questions
NACAA Spring Meeting
Wednesday, May 7, 2014

- 1. Regional Agreements:** How many states are considering new multi-state agreements as part of a 111(d) plan? How are states initiating multi-state dialogues with potential state partners or other stakeholder partners? What initial steps have states identified as critical?
- 2. Energy Efficiency:** How interested are states in demand side energy efficiency measures? Do states have existing experience with energy efficiency programs? What steps have states already taken to develop energy efficiency programs as a 111(d) compliance pathway?
- 3. Renewable Energy:** How interested are states in expanding renewable energy generation to meet CO2 reduction targets under 111(d)? What steps have states taken to credit renewable energy generation? What interstate issues do states anticipate?
- 4. Co-regulation:** How are state air regulators engaging in dialogue with other stakeholders? What issues are these discussions focusing on? How do states perceive their role in assuring reliability?
- 5. EPA Outreach:** What lessons can be learned from EPA's 111(d) outreach? What process should EPA adopt to solicit and respond to state input during the 111(d) comment period? What additional outreach would states like to see from EPA?
- 6. State Planning Process:** What is the best way to structure the state planning process? What best practices or pitfalls can be identified from the SIP process? What approaches would states like EPA to adopt as the agency develops new procedures to review and approve 111(d) plans? What criteria should EPA use to approve state plans?