New Source Review Update April, 2006 John Paul Bill O'Sullivan

#### December 31, 2002 Rule

- I0-year baseline lookback, actual-to-future-actual applicability test, PALs, Clean Units, PCPs
- June, 2005 Court Ruling vacating Clean Units and PCPs (EPA will remove from rules) and remanding to EPA the issue of record keeping (ongoing discussions within EPA)
- January, 2006 SIPs due
  - Four states proposed for approval—Ohio, Kentucky, Colorado, and Mississippi
  - Equivalency demonstrations being discussed with Regions

#### October, 2003 ERP Rule 20% Cost Test

- Stayed by DC Circuit Court December, 2003
- Reconsideration completed June, 2005
- Vacated by the DC Circuit Court March, 2006
- Only in a Humpty Dumpty world
- Ongoing discussions between EPA and DOJ on options

### Alternative Applicability Test for Utilities

- Proposed in October, 2005 without regulatory language
  - Change from TPY test to maximum achievable hourly emissions rate test for modifications
- Public hearing December, 2005
- Supplemental proposal with regulatory language possible this summer

#### **Aggregation and Debottlenecking**

- Aggregation involves multiple units installed as a part of an overall project or multiple activities at a single unit over a period of time
- Debottlenecking involves "downstream" increases in emissions at a facility
- Proposal is at OMB
- EPA hopes to publish the proposal in June

### **Ozone SIP NSR Issues**

 Major stationary source thresholds, significant emission rates, and offset ratios under 1990 CAA for NOx, VOC, PM-10, and CO

Changes to SIP rules and Appendix S

NOx as ozone precursor in nonattainment and attainment areas

#### Shutdown Credits

- Can use pre-application shutdown credits for offsets and netting if carried in projected emissions inventory used for attainment demonstration
- Dropped requirement for approved attainment demonstration

Changes to 52.24

- Extended Appendix S applicability from 18 months to until SIP rule approved
- Removed construction bans per 1990 CAA

 Not adopting "transitional" NSR program under section VI. of Appendix S as proposed

#### PM2.5 NSR SIP Issues

#### PM10 surrogate program in effect

- 100/250 TPY for new sources, 15 TPY for increases
- No precursors

#### Proposed SIP rule issues

- Precursors (NOx, SO2, and NH3)
- Major source rates
- Significant increase rates
- Offset ratios
- Role of condensables
- Air quality analysis
- Preconstruction monitoring
- Interpollutant trading (precursors)
- Rural transport areas
- Coordination with Ozone NSR program
- Hope to finalize in October/November

# Appendix S

- NSR in nonattainment areas without an approved SIP
  Rulemaking will conform to Dec, 2002 rule
  - Baseline, Actual-to-future-actual applicability test, PALs
  - Final action expected July, 2006

#### **Treatment of Corn Milling Facilities**

- Ethanol Production Facilities
- Likely removal of these facilities from classification as a chemical process plant
- Effect will be to raise the major threshold level from 100 TPY to 250 TPY for PSD and Title V applicability
- NPRM 3/06, Final Action 3/07

## Routine Maintenance, Repair, and Replacement

- Establishment of a regulatory definition for maintenance and repair activities
- Originally proposed options on 12/31/02
- ERP portion was finalized on 10/27/03 but vacated by the court
- This action will propose and take comments on an additional approach
- No given timeframe

### **IGCC** and **BACT**

- Should IGCC be considered in the Topdown BACT analysis for a coal-fired utility boiler PSD permit?
- December, 2005 letter from EPA stating
  - Not under 165(a)(4) BACT for each pollutant
  - But as part of the 165(a)(2) analysis
    - Interested party opportunity to submit alternatives to the proposed source
  - Same for LAER under 175(a)(5)

#### Alabama Power

- U.S. District Court Judge Virginia Hopkins
- Parties before a mediator
- Lawsuit involves five plants
- Partial settlement reached on one plant
  - SCR operation year round
  - FGD installation by Dec 31, 2011
  - PM limit of 0.03 #/MMBTU
  - Mercury CEMs by Dec 31, 2008
  - Retire \$4.9 million in SO2 allowances
  - \$100K civil penalty

#### Duke Energy

- 2003 decision regarding NSPS test (hourly emissions) for modification
- 2005 4<sup>th</sup> Circuit decision upholding the lower court
- The Government declined to appeal to the Supreme Court, but environmental groups did, arguing the court lacked jurisdiction (only DC Circuit should rule on environmental matters having national implication)
- So far the Supreme Court is silent

#### Cinergy

- January, 2006 7<sup>th</sup> Circuit Court agreed to hear the case--Judge Larry McKinney
- Conn., New Jersey, New York co-plaintiffs
- Pre-trial rulings mixed—industry standard part of consideration for maintenance and Cinergy burden of proof
- Jury trial
- **TVA** 
  - U.S. District Court Judge Virginia Hopkins
  - Ruled in favor of TVA
  - Enviros have appealed to 11<sup>th</sup> Circuit

- American Electric Power (AEP)
  - Judge Sargus (ruled against Ohio Edison in 2003)
  - Trial held in July, 2005
  - Final written briefs submitted in October
  - Penalty trial set for January, 2007

- Milton Young Station (N. Dakota)
  - Recent settlement
  - Lignite coal-fired utility
  - SO<sub>2</sub> scrubbers (90%)
  - NOx control 40% 2007, BACT by 2011
  - \$5 million renewable energy development projects